

## On Connections of a Finsler Space

Hiroshi YASUDA

**§ 1. Introduction.** The connection theories of a Finsler space  $M$  have been studied by many authors. These theories may be divided broadly into two types. One is the theory standing upon the viewpoint that  $M$  is constructed of line-elements and most of authors are concerned with this type of theory (see [5]<sup>1)</sup> ~ [9]). The other is the theory derived from the standpoint of tangent Minkowski spaces (for example, [1], [2], [3], [4], [10]). It is often said that the latter is more natural geometrically, but little progress has been made practically since 1962 [4]. In view of this fact, the latter theory should be given more attention.

The purpose of the present paper is to open up some possibilities for the development of this theory. The transformations among the indicatrices at different points of  $M$  have been introduced by the present author ([11], [12]) and developed further ([13], [14]). This transformation theory has been, in this paper, applied to the theory of non-linear connections by A. Kawaguchi [3].

**§ 2. Transformations among indicatrices.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Finsler space with a fundamental function  $L(x, y)$ . For the present, let  $M$  be endowed with the Cartan connection  $CG = (F^{*i}_{jk}, N^i_k, C^i_{jk})$  or the Berwald connection  $BG = (G^i_{jk}, G^i_k, O)$ , where

$$(2. 1) \quad N^i_k = G^i_k, \quad N^i_k = y^j F^{*i}_{jk}, \quad G^i_k = y^j G^i_{jk}.$$

We consider the tangent bundle  $T(M) = \bigcup_{x \in M} T_x$  over  $M$ ,  $T_x$  being the tangent space at a point  $x$  of  $M$ , and take a vector field  $\tilde{X}$  on  $T(M)$  defined by

$$(2. 2) \quad \tilde{X} = u^i(x) \partial / \partial x^i + (v^i(x, y) - N^i_j(x, y) u^j(x)) \partial / \partial y^i \\ (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

---

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

where  $u^i(x)$  and  $v^i(x, y)$  are the components of two vector fields on  $M$  and  $v^i(x, y)$  are homogeneous functions of degree 1 in  $y^i$ .

Let  $\Phi = \{\Phi_t | t \in I_\varepsilon\}$  ( $I_\varepsilon$ : an open interval) be a local one-parameter group of transformations generated by  $\tilde{X}$ , and  $\Psi_t$  be the restriction of  $\Phi_t$  to the tangent space  $T_x$ . Then it is known [13] that the restriction  $\Psi_t$  is a mapping of  $T_x$  onto  $T_{\bar{x}}$ , where  $T_{\bar{x}}$  is the tangent space at the image  $\bar{x}$  of  $x$  by  $\Psi_t$ .

Now we consider the indicatrix bundle  $I(M) = \bigcup_{x \in M} I_x$  over  $M$ , where  $I_x$  is the indicatrix at the point  $x$  and its equation is given by

$$(2.3) \quad L(x, y) = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad L^2 = g_{ij}(x, y)y^i y^j = 1 \quad (x; \text{fixed}).$$

In this case,  $I_x$  is considered not only as a hypersurface of  $T_x$ , but also as an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional submanifold of  $I(M)$ . If we denote the restriction of  $\Psi_t$  to  $I_x$  by  $\bar{\Psi}_t$ , then it is known [14] that  $\bar{\Psi}_t$  is a mapping of  $I_x$  onto  $I_{\bar{x}}$  if and only if the vector  $v^i(x, y)$  is indicatric, i. e.  $v^i y_i = 0$  ( $y_i = g_{ij}y^j$ ). Each  $\bar{\Psi}_t$  is defined as a set of solutions of the following differential equation:

$$(2.4) \quad dx^i/dt = u^i(x), \quad dy^i/dt = v^i(x, y) - N_j^i(x, y)u^j(x).$$

The corresponding infinitesimal transformation to  $\bar{\Psi}_t$  is given by

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{\Psi}_{dt}: \begin{aligned} \bar{x} &= x^i + u^i(x)dt, \\ \bar{y}^i &= y^i + (v^i(x, y) - N_j^i(x, y)u^j(x))dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $dt$  is an infinitesimal constant and  $L(x, y) = 1$ .

Each tangent space  $T_x$  can be regarded as an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian space with a metric tensor  $g_{ij}(x, y)$  and  $I(M)$  as a  $(2n-1)$ -dimensional Riemannian space with torsion. In this case, the indicatrix  $I_x$  has the same Riemannian structure by means of the induced metric and connection from those of  $T_x$  or from those of  $I(M)$  [11]. Further it is known [14] that each  $\bar{\Psi}_t$  is an isometric mapping if and only if the following equation holds:

$$(2.6) \quad v_i|_j + v_j|_i - 2P_{ijk}u^k = 0,$$

where  $v_i|_j = \partial v_i / \partial y^j - v_r C_{ij}^r$  and  $P_{ijk} = C_{ijr|k} y^r$  (the vertical short line indicates the  $h$ -covariant differentiation of Cartan).

§ 3. **Tangent Minkowski spaces and non-linear connections.** In the previous section, we have stated that each tangent space  $T_x$  can be regarded as a Riemannian space with the tensor  $g_{ij}(x, y)$ . Such a space is called a tangent Riemannian space. On the other hand, if the fundamental function  $L(x, y)$  defines the length of a vector  $y^i$  in  $T_x$  and the vector space  $T_x$  is regarded as a centro-affine space with the origin  $x = (x^i)$  whose indicatrix is given by (2. 3), then  $T_x$  is called a tangent Minkowski space. Hereafter we shall consider connections of  $M$  standing upon this view-point.

As a special case, in (2. 5) we put

$$(3. 1) \quad dx^i = u^i(x)dt, \quad v^i = -T_j^i(x, y)u^j(x),$$

provided that  $T = (T_j^i)$  is an indicatrix tensor on  $M$  with respect to the upper index  $i$  such that  $T_j^i$  are homogeneous functions of degree 1 in  $y^i$ . Then (2. 5) is expressed as

$$(3. 2) \quad \bar{x}^i = x^i + dx^i, \quad \bar{y}^i = y^i - (T_j^i + N_j^i)dx^j$$

In this case, we can consider (3. 2) as a correspondence between indicatrices  $I_x$  and  $I_{\bar{x}}$  of the tangent Minkowski spaces at any two infinitesimally near points  $x$  and  $\bar{x}$  of  $M$ . It is because if we neglect higher terms of  $dx^i$  in (3. 2), then  $L(x, y) = 1$  implies  $L(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 1$ . Therefore, for any vector  $y^i$  in  $T_x$  we have

$$(3. 3) \quad L(x, y) = L(\bar{x}, \bar{y}),$$

that is, the length of any vector  $y^i$  is invariant under the correspondence (3. 2). From (3. 2) we obtain

$$(3. 4) \quad dy^i = -(N_j^i + T_j^i)dx^j.$$

In consequence of (3. 3) and (3. 4), we can define the absolute differential of a vector  $y^i$  as follows:

$$(3. 5) \quad Dy^i = dy^i + \Gamma_k^i(x, y)dx^k,$$

where we put

$$(3. 6) \quad \Gamma_k^i = N_k^i + T_k^i.$$



According to A. Kawaguchi [3],  $\Gamma_k^i$  is given by

$$(3.7) \quad \Gamma_k^i(x, y) = h_r^i \xi_{jk}^r y^j + y^i N_{kj}^j / L^2,$$

where  $\xi_{jk}^r$  are homogeneous functions of degree 0 in  $y^i$  (or independent of  $y^i$ ),  $h_j^i = \delta_j^i - y^i y_j / L^2$  and  $y_j = g_{ij} y^j$ . Putting  $\xi_k^i = \xi_{jk}^i y^j$ , from (3.6) and (3.7) we have

$$(3.8) \quad T_k^i = h_j^i (\xi_k^j - N_k^j),$$

which indicates that  $T_k^i$  is indicatric with respect to the index  $i$  in accordance with our assumption. Then on substituting of (3.8) into (3.6), the non-linear connection  $\Gamma_k^i$  in [3] can be obtained. Further if we let  $\xi_k^i$  be equal to  $\Gamma_k^i$  in (3.7) and put  $T_k^i = \Gamma_k^i - N_k^i$ , then we have also  $y_i T_k^i = 0$ , that is, a non-linear connection of type (3.6) can be obtained reciprocally from (3.7).

From (3.5) and (3.6) we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad dL(x, y) = l_i Dy^i, \quad l_i = \partial L / \partial y^i = y_i / L.$$

For an unit vector  $l^i = y^i / L$ , it follows from (3.5) and (3.9) that

$$(3.10) \quad LDl^i = h_j^i Dy^j, \quad l_i Dl^i = 0, \quad h_j^i = \delta_j^i - l^i l_j.$$

Let  $C$  be any curve in  $M$  represented by

$$(3.11) \quad C: x^i = x^i(t) \quad (t; \text{any parameter}).$$

If a vector  $y^i$  is displaced parallelly along the curve  $C$ , then from (3.5) and (3.11) we have

$$(3.12) \quad Dy^i / dt = dy^i / dt + (N_k^i + T_k^i) dx^k / dt = 0,$$

from which and (3.9) it follows that  $dL(x(t), y(t)) / dt = 0$  holds along  $C$ , that is, the length of the vector  $y^i$  is invariant under any parallel displacement. Next we consider an unit vector  $l^i$  and put  $l^i = dx^i / ds$ , being  $ds = L(x, dx)$ . Then the equation of auto-parallel curves of the connection  $\Gamma_k^i$ , because of (2.1) and (3.12), becomes

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} (D/ds)(dx^i/ds) &= d^2x^i/ds^2 + \Gamma_{jk}^{*i}(x, l)(dx^j/ds)(dx^k/ds) \\ &\quad + T_k^i(x, l)(dx^k/ds) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is known [9] that the equation of geodesic curves of  $M$  is given by

$$(3.14) \quad d^2x^i/ds^2 + \Gamma^{*i}_{jk}(x, l)(dx^j/ds)(dx^k/ds) = 0.$$

Consequently comparing (3.13) with (3.14), we see that auto-parallel curves of  $\Gamma^i_k$  are always geodesic curves of  $M$  if and only if  $T^i_j(x, l)l^j = 0$  holds. Thus we can state

**Theorem 1.**<sup>2)</sup> *The length of any vector  $y^i$  in each tangent Minkowski space is invariant under any parallel displacement by the connection  $\Gamma^i_k$  in consideration. Auto-parallel curves of the connection  $\Gamma^i_k$  are always geodesic curves of  $M$  if and only if the tensor  $T^i_j(x, y)$  is also indicatric with respect to the lower index  $j$ .*

In the following, we shall consider only the connection  $\Gamma^i_k$  satisfying Theorem 1. Differentiating  $\Gamma^i_k$  by  $y^j$ , from (2.1) we have

$$(3.15) \quad \partial\Gamma^i_k/\partial y^j = G^i_{jk} + T^i_{jk}, \quad \text{where} \quad T^i_{jk} = \partial\Gamma^i_k/\partial y^j.$$

For  $T^i_{jk}$ , the following relations hold:

$$(3.16) \quad T^i_{jk}y^j = T^i_k, \quad T^i_{jk}y^k = -T^i_j, \quad T^i_{jk}y^i = -T_{jk},$$

where  $T_{jk} = g_{jr}T^r_k$ . We shall seek for the curvature tensor  $R^i_{kh}$ . This tensor is defined by

$$(3.17) \quad R^i_{kh} = \partial\Gamma^i_k/\partial x^h - \partial\Gamma^i_h/\partial x^k + \Gamma^i_k\partial\Gamma^i_h/\partial y^j - \Gamma^i_h\partial\Gamma^i_k/\partial y^j,$$

which is, because of (3.15), reducible to

$$(3.18) \quad R^i_{kh} = y^j K^i_{jkh} + (\partial T^i_k/\partial x^h - T^i_{jk}\Gamma^j_h) - (\partial T^i_h/\partial x^k - T^i_{jh}\Gamma^j_k) + T^i_k G^i_{jh} - T^i_h G^i_{jk},$$

where  $K^i_{jkh}$  is the curvature tensor of Rund. In this case, the differential equation  $Dy^i = 0$  is completely integrable if and only if the tensor  $R^i_{kh}$  vanishes.

**§ 3. Absolute differentials of covariant tensors and connections.** Let us first define the absolute differential  $Dy^i$  of a covariant vector  $y_i$ . For this purpose, we choose a connection  $\Gamma = (\Gamma^i_{jk})$  of  $M$  satisfying the following relation:

---

2) This theorem appears as Theorem 2.2 in the paper [4].

$$(4. 1) \quad y^i \Gamma_{jk}^i(x, y) = \Gamma_k^i = N_k^i + T_k^i.$$

Then we can define  $Dy_i$  as follows :

$$(4. 2) \quad Dy_i = dy_i - y_j \Gamma_{ik}^j dx^k,$$

which is, because of (2. 1), (3. 5) and (4. 1), expressible in

$$(4. 3) \quad Dy_i = g_{ij} Dy^j + \{(\Gamma_{ik}^{*j} - \Gamma_{ik}^j) y_j - T_{ik}\} dx^k.$$

Here we require that  $Dy_i = g_{ij} Dy^j$ . For this,  $\Gamma_{jk}^i$  must satisfy

$$(4. 4) \quad \Gamma_{ik}^j y_j = \Gamma_{ik}^{*j} y_j - T_{ik}.$$

In consequence of (3. 15) and (3. 16) we can find such quantities. They are expressible in

$$(4. 5) \quad \Gamma_{ik}^j = G_{ik}^j + T_{ik}^j + Q_{ik}^j,$$

provided that  $Q = (Q_{jk}^i)$  is an indicatric tensor with respect to indices  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $Q_{jk}^i$  are homogeneous functions of degree 0 in  $y^i$ .

Let the connection  $\Gamma = (\Gamma_{jk}^i)$  be symmetric, i.e.  $\Gamma_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{kj}^i$ . Then from (3. 16) and (4. 5) we have

$$(4. 6) \quad T_{jk}^i + Q_{jk}^i = T_{kj}^i + Q_{kj}^i, \quad Q_{jk}^i y^k = 2T_j^i, \quad T_{jk} = T_{kj}.$$

Let us now define the absolute differential of the tensor  $g_{ij}$  as

$$(4. 7) \quad \begin{aligned} Dg_{ij} &= dg_{ij} - (g_{rj} \Gamma_{ik}^r + g_{ir} \Gamma_{jk}^r) dx^k - (g_{rj} C_{ik}^r + g_{ir} C_{jk}^r) Dy^k \\ &= g_{ij; k} dx^k + g_{ij} |_{k} Dy^k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4. 8) \quad g_{ij; k} = \partial g_{ij} / \partial x^k - \Gamma_k^r \partial g_{ij} / \partial y^r - g_{rj} \Gamma_{ik}^r - g_{ir} \Gamma_{jk}^r,$$

$$(4. 9) \quad g_{ij} |_{k} = \partial g_{ij} / \partial y^k - g_{rj} C_{ik}^r - g_{ir} C_{jk}^r \equiv 0.$$

Then from (3. 5), (3. 16), (4. 7), (4. 8) and (4. 9) we obtain

$$(4. 10) \quad \begin{aligned} Dg_{ij} &= - (T_{ijk} + T_{jik} + 2C_{ijr} T_k^r + 2P_{ijk} + Q_{ijk} + Q_{jik}) dx^k, \\ y^j Dg_{ij} &= 0, \quad \text{where} \quad T_{ijk} = g_{jr} T_{ik}^r, \quad Q_{ijk} = g_{jr} Q_{ik}^r. \end{aligned}$$

For  $T_{ijk}$  and  $Q_{ijk}$ , the following relations hold :

$$(4. 11) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{ijk}y^i &= T_{jk}, & T_{ijk}y^j &= -T_{ik}, & T_{ijk}y^k &= -T_{ji}, \\ Q_{ijk}y^i &= Q_{ijk}y^j & &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We shall call a connection  $\Gamma$  defined by (4. 5) a *TM-connection* of  $M$ . We shall say a *TM-connection*  $\Gamma$  to be *r-metrical* if  $Dg_{ij} = 0$  always holds. Then it follows from (4. 10) that  $\Gamma$  is r-metrical if and only if the following equation holds :

$$(4. 12) \quad T_{ijk} + T_{jik} + 2C_{ijr}T_k^r + 2P_{ijk} + Q_{ijk} + Q_{jik} = 0.$$

Further if  $\Gamma$  is symmetric, then from (4. 6), (4. 11) and (4. 12) we have  $T_{ik}^j = 0$  and  $Q_{ik}^j = -P_{ik}^j$ , that is,  $\Gamma$  is the Cartan connection.

Let us here give a summary of the results have been obtained hitherto. Then we can state

**Theorem 2.** *A TM-connection  $\Gamma$  of  $M$  has following properties :*

- (1) *For the non-linear connection  $\Gamma_k^i$ , Theorem 1 holds.*
- (2) *The absolute differential of a covariant vector  $y_i$  is given by*

$$Dy_i = g_{ij}Dy^j.$$

- (3)  *$\Gamma$  is the Berwald connection if and only if  $T_k^i = 0$  and  $Q_{jk}^i = 0$ .*
- (4) *If  $\Gamma$  is symmetric, then relations (4. 6) hold.*
- (5)  *$\Gamma$  is r-metrical if and only if the equation (4. 12) holds.*
- (6)  *$\Gamma$  is the Cartan connection if and only if  $\Gamma$  is symmetric and r-metrical.*

We consider a general tensor on  $M$ , for example,  $X_j^i(x, y)$ . Then the absolute differential of  $X_j^i$  is defined by

$$(4. 13) \quad \begin{aligned} DX_j^i(x, y) &= X_{j;k}^i dx^k + X_j^i|_k Dy^k, \\ X_{j;k}^i &= \partial X_j^i / \partial x^k - \Gamma_k^r \partial X^i / \partial y^r + X_j^r \Gamma_{rk}^i - X_r^i \Gamma_{jk}^r, \\ X_j^i|_k &= \partial X_j^i / \partial y^k + X_j^r C_{rk}^i - X_r^i C_{jk}^r. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore according to M. Matsumoto [7], our connection can be expressed as  $TM\Gamma = (\Gamma_{jk}^i, \Gamma_k^i, C_{jk}^i)$ .

Since we have now many indicatric tensors on  $M$  and know the indicatization of any tensor on  $M$ , from them or the combinations of them we can choose a tensor  $T_k^i$  in  $\Gamma_k^i$  and a tensor  $Q_{jk}^i$  in (4. 5) in various ways. However we have at present no criterion for the choice of them. So we take an important and simple tensor  $T_k^i$ , i. e.



$$(4.14) \quad T_k^i = Lh_k^i = L(\delta_k^i - l^i l_k),$$

from which it follows that

$$(4.15) \quad T_{jk}^i = \partial T_k^i / \partial y^j = l_j h_k^i - l_k h_j^i - l^i h_{jk}.$$

Then the curvature tensor (3.18) becomes

$$(4.16) \quad R_{kh}^i = y^j K_{jkh}^i + L(l_k h_h^i - l_h h_k^i).$$

Since a tensor  $h_{ij} = g_{ir} h_j^r$  is called the angular metric tensor, we shall call a connection  $\Gamma_k^i$  defined by (4.14) the *AM-non-linear connection*. If  $R_{kh}^i = 0$ , then from (4.16) we have  $K_{ikh} = -(y_k h_{ih} - y_h h_{ik})$ , where  $K_{ikh} = g_{ir} y^j K_{jkh}^r$ , which shows that  $M$  is of constant curvature. Hence we can state

**Corollary 2.1.** *For the AM-non-linear connection, the curvature tensor  $R_{kh}^i$  is given by (4.16). If the curvature tensor vanishes, then  $M$  is of constant curvature  $-1$ .*

We shall call a *TM-connection* (4.5) defined by the *AM-nonlinear connection* a *TMA-connection*. Let this connection be symmetric. Then from (4.6) and (4.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{jk}^i - Q_{kj}^i &= T_{kj}^i - T_{jk}^i = 2(l_k h_j^i - l_j h_k^i), \\ Q_{jk}^i y^k &= 2Lh_j^i = 2T_j^i, \quad T_{jk} = T_{kj} = Lh_{jk}, \end{aligned}$$

from which it is seen that  $\Gamma$  is of form

$$(4.17) \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i = G_{jk}^i + l_j h_k^i + l_k h_j^i - l^i h_{jk} + \mathcal{Q}_{jk}^i,$$

provided that  $\mathcal{Q} = (\mathcal{Q}_{jk}^i)$  is an indicatric tensor with respect to all the indices  $i, j$  and  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}_{jk}^i$  are homogeneous functions of degree 0 in  $y^i$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{jk}^i = \mathcal{Q}_{kj}^i$ . Hence we obtain

**Corollary 2.2.** *A symmetric TMA-connection  $\Gamma$  is given by (4.17).*

Let a *TMA-connection*  $\Gamma$  be  $r$ -metrical. Then because of (4.12) and (4.15) we obtain

$$(4.18) \quad Q_{ijk} + Q_{jik} = 2(h_{ij} l_k - LC_{ijk} - P_{ijk}),$$

which implies that  $\Gamma$  is of form

$$(4.19) \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i = \Gamma^{*i}_{jk} + l_j h_k^i - l^i h_{jk} - LC_{jk}^i + \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{jk}^i,$$



provided that  $\tilde{Q} = (\tilde{Q}_{jk}^i)$  is an indicatric tensor with respect to the indices  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $\tilde{Q}_{jk}^i$  are homogeneous functions of degree 0 in  $y^i$  and  $\tilde{Q}_{jk}^i + \tilde{Q}_{ik}^j = 0$ . Consequently we have

**Corollary 2. 3.** *A TMA-connection  $\Gamma$  is r-metrical if and only if the equation (4. 18) holds. An r-metrical TMA-connection  $\Gamma$  is given by (4. 19).*

**§ 5. A connection based on an isometry.** As a trial, we shall utilize the equation (2. 6) in § 2 to determine tensors  $T_k^i$  and  $T_{jk}^i$ .

First we consider a special *TM*-connection  $\Gamma$  such that

$$(5. 1) \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i = \partial \Gamma_k^i / \partial y^j = G_{jk}^i + T_{jk}^i,$$

that is, a connection obtained by putting  $Q_{jk}^i = 0$  in (4. 5).

Next it follows from (3. 1) and (4. 9) that (2. 6) is reducible to

$$(T_{ijk} + T_{jik} + 2C_{ijr}T_k^r + 2P_{ijk})u^k = 0.$$

which implies that for any  $u^i$  each  $\bar{\Psi}_t$  is isometric if and only if

$$(5. 2) \quad T_{ijk} + T_{jik} + 2C_{ijr}T_k^r + 2P_{ijk} = 0.$$

In this case, because of (2. 4), (3. 1) and (3. 12) we see that each indicatrix  $I_x$  as a Riemannian space is isometric under the parallel displacement along any curve  $C$  also if and only if (5. 2) holds. Further it follows from (4. 12) and (5. 2) that the connection  $\Gamma$  is r-metrical. Contracting (5. 2) by  $y^k$ , from (4. 11) we have

$$(5. 3) \quad T_{ij} + T_{ji} = 0.$$

Since  $T_{ijk} = \partial T_{ik} / \partial y^j - 2C_{jri}T_k^r$ , (5. 2) is reducible to

$$(5. 4) \quad \partial T_{jk} / \partial y^i + \partial T_{ik} / \partial y^j - 2C_{ij}^r T_{rk} + 2P_{ijk} = 0,$$

provided that

$$(5. 5) \quad T_{ij}y^i = 0, \quad T_{ij}y^j = 0.$$

Further we can eliminate the terms of partial derivatives, that is

$$(5. 6) \quad T_{ri}C_{jk}^r + T_{rj}C_{ki}^r + T_{rk}C_{ij}^r = 3P_{ijk}.$$

Now we are confronted with a problem if there exists a tensor  $T_{ij}$  satisfying (5.4) under the conditions (5.3) and (5.5).

Let first  $M$  be of dimension 2. Then from (5.3) and (5.5) we have

$$(5.7) \quad T_{ii} = 0, \quad T_{12} = -T_{21}, \quad T_{12}y^1 = T_{21}y^2 = 0,$$

from which it follows that  $T_{ij} = 0$  and hence  $P_{ijk} = 0$ . Hence we obtain

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $M$  be a two-dimensional Finsler space. Then if  $M$  allows an indicatric and skew-symmetric tensor  $T_{ij}$  satisfying (5.4), then  $M$  is a Landsberg space and the connection  $\Gamma$  in consideration becomes the Cartan (or Berwald) connection.*

We shall call a solution of the equation (5.4) such that  $T_{ij} = 0$  and  $P_{ijk} = 0$  are satisfied the *trivial solution* of (5.4).

In a general case, i. e.  $n \geq 3$ , there exists the trivial solution without fail in view of the form of (5.4). However it is for the present open if there exists any non-trivial solution.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Barthel, W. Ueber Minkowskische und Finslersche Geometric. *Convegno internazionale di Geom. Diff.* 1953, 71-76.
- [2] Barthel, W. Extremalprobleme in der Finslerschen Inhalts Geometric. *Annales Univ. Saraviensis nat. Sci.* 3 1955, 171-183.
- [3] Kawaguchi, A. On the theory of non-linear connections II. Theory of Minkowski space and of non-linear connections in a Finsler space. *Tensor N. S.* 6 1956, 165-199.
- [4] Kikuchi, S. The theory of Minkowski space and of non-linear connections in a Finsler space. *Tensor N. S.* 12 1962, 47-60.
- [5] Matsumoto, M and Okada, T. Connections in Finsler spaces. *Seminar in Differential Geometry, Kyoto Univ.* 1965.
- [6] Matsumoto, M. The theory of Finsler conection. *Publ. Study Group Geom.* 5 *Depart. Math. Okayama Univ.* 1970.
- [7] Matsumoto, M. Metrical differential geometry. *Kiso Sugaku Sensho* 14, *Shokabo Tokyo* 1975.
- [8] Matsumoto, M. Foundation of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces. to appear.
- [9] Rund, H. The differential geometry of Finsler spaces. *Grundlehr. Math. Wiss.* 101 *Springer Verlag* 1959.
- [10] Wagner, W. W. Geometry of Finsler as a theory of the field of local hypersurfaces in  $X_n$ . *Trudy Sem. Vector. Tensor. Analizu* 7 1949, 65-166.

- [11] Yasuda, H. On the indicatrices of a Finsler spaces. *Tensor N. S.* **33** 1979, 213-221.
- [12] Yasuda, H. On Landsberg spaces. *Tensor N. S.* **34** 1980, 77-84.
- [13] Yasuda, H. On transformations of Finsler spaces. *Tensor N. S.* **34** 1980, 316-326.
- [14] Yasuda, H. On  $H(v)$ -mappings of the indicatrix bundle over a Finsler space. *Tensor N. S.* **35** 1981, 319-327.

(Mathematics, Asahikawa Medical College)

Department of Mathematics  
Asahikawa Medical College  
Asahikawa, Japan

---