

AMCoR

Asahikawa Medical University Repository <http://amcor.asahikawa-med.ac.jp/>

Journal of Alcoholism and Drug Dependence (2016.1) 4(1):1-2.

Sex-Dependent Differences in Acetabular Dysplasia Characteristics

Hiroshi Ito

Sex-Dependent Differences in Acetabular Dysplasia Characteristics

Hiroshi Ito*

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Asahikawa Medical University, Asahikawa, Japan

*Corresponding author: Hiroshi Ito, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Asahikawa Medical University, Midorigaoka Higashi 2-1-1-1, Asahikawa, 078-8510, Japan, Tel: 81-166-68-2511; E-mail: itohiro@asahikawa-med.ac.jp

Received date: December 14, 2015; Accepted date: January 21, 2016; Published date: January 28, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Ito H. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Description

Patients with acetabular dysplasia generally develop secondary osteoarthritis (OA) [1]. These patients with osteoarthritis of the hip report moderate to severe hip pain. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are often prescribed to relieve hip pain. Up to the present, however, no drug has the evidence to delay the development of osteoarthritis of the hip.

Reducing articular cartilage contact stress with pelvic osteotomy delays the appearance or reduces the severity of osteoarthritis [2,3]. Various reorienting acetabular osteotomies have been described [4-8]. Various survivorships after periacetabular osteotomy (PAO) have been reported. Satisfactory intermediate to long-term clinical results have been reported using these osteotomies [9-12]. However, those good results could not be obtained for some patients [13,14].

Currently the reasons for these differences are not clear, and various factors which affect the results after PAO have been reported. These factors include severity of the acetabular dysplasia, surgical techniques, age of the patients at surgery, intra-articular abnormalities, secondary femoroacetabular impingement (FAI), and occurrence of perioperative complications. Duncan et al. [15] showed that sex-dependent differences in acetabular dysplasia is one of the important factors, and represent a major step forward in our understanding of what should be considered in the treatment of male patients. By analysing results with patient demographics, physical examination, patient self-reported outcome scores, radiographic morphologic features, and intraoperative findings, they found differences between the sexes for reduced internal rotation in flexion, a higher Dunn alpha angle, increased incidence of a crossover sign, and a lower anterior center-edge in male patients. Although the power of this study might be inadequate to detect differences, they clarify male patients have a greater prevalence of clinical, radiographic and intra-articular findings consistent with concurrent FAI, and increased risk of secondary FAI after PAO. The sex-dependent differences are found to potentially affect the surgical results after PAO; however, this study still leaves us with some unanswered questions.

The average physical size of males is larger than females. It is possible that the differences are based on the size of the patient and not specifically related to the chromosomal make up of male or female. It should be investigated in future.

The prevention of secondary FAI after PAO should also be considered. What steps can surgeons take to minimize FAI? They performed post hoc power analysis; however, the number of 180 patients is relatively small to derive conclusions.

What differences in surgical techniques between male and female patients should we make to prevent secondary FAI? If posterior deficiency of the acetabulum is core common in male patients, should

we rotate the acetabular fragment more internally in male patients to reduce anterior over coverage and improve posterior coverage? Should we routinely perform femoral osteochondroplasty in male patients?

Future studies to investigate male and female patients with almost the same average and distribution of height, weight and BMI are necessary. These studies will likely demonstrate actual distribution of sex-dependent differences. They proposed surgical procedures to prevent FAI which included intraoperative check of adequacy for the reduction of the acetabular correction, assessment of hip ROM after acetabular reorientation, and open arthrotomy on patients at risk for FAI. The long-term results of these procedures would contribute to establish surgical procedures to prevent FAI.

Their study is a landmark which investigates sex-dependent differences in acetabular dysplasia. Future anatomical studies using CT scans which investigate precise acetabular morphology in a large number of patients with the acetabular dysplasia can potentially contribute to acquire knowledge regarding the distribution of sex-dependent differences from the anatomical point of view.

References

1. Cooperman D (2013) What is the evidence to support acetabular dysplasia as a cause of osteoarthritis? *J Pediatr Orthop* 33 Suppl 1: S2-7.
2. Mills MB, Murphy SB, Poss R (1995) Osteotomies about the hip for the prevention and treatment of osteoarthritis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 77: 626-647.
3. Poss R (1984) The role of osteotomy in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 66: 144-151.
4. Eppright RH (1975) Dial osteotomy of the acetabulum in the treatment of dysplasia of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 57: 1172.
5. Ganz R, Klaue K, Vinh TS, Mast JW (1988) A new periacetabular osteotomy for the treatment of hip dysplasias: technique and preliminary results. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 232: 26-36.
6. Ninomiya S, Tagawa H (1984) Rotational acetabular osteotomy for the dysplastic hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 66: 430-436.
7. Steel HH (1973) Triple osteotomy of the innominate bone. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 55: 343-350.
8. Wagner H (1978) Experiences with spherical acetabular osteotomy for the correction of the dysplastic acetabulum. In: Weil UH (eds) *Acetabular Dysplasia: Skeletal Dysplasia in Childhood*. Progress in Orthopaedic Surgery. (2nd edn.) Springer, New York.
9. Ito H, Tanino H, Yamanaka Y, Minami A, Matsuno T (2011) Intermediate to long-term results of periacetabular osteotomy in patients younger and older than forty years of age. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 93: 1347-1354.
10. Matheny T, Kim YJ, Zurakowski D, Matero C, Millis M (2009) Intermediate to long-term results following the Bernese periacetabular osteotomy and predictors of clinical outcome. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 91: 2113-2123.
11. Schramm M, Hohmann D, Radespiel-Troger M, Pitto RP (2003) Treatment of the dysplastic acetabulum with Wagner spherical

-
- osteotomy: a study of patients followed for a minimum of twenty years. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 85: 808-814.
12. Yasunaga Y, Ochi M, Shimogaki K, Yamamoto S, Iwamori H (2004) Rotational acetabular osteotomy for hip dysplasia: 61 hips followed for 8-15 years. *Acta Orthop Scand* 75: 10-15.
 13. Steppacher SD, Tannast M, Ganz R, Siebenrock KA (2008) Mean 20-year followup of Bernese periacetabular osteotomy. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 466: 1633-1644.
 14. Ziebarth K, Balakumar J, Domayer S, Kim YJ, Millis MB (2011) Bernese periacetabular osteotomy in males: is there an increased risk of femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) after Bernese periacetabular osteotomy? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 469: 447-453.
 15. Duncan ST, Bogunovic L, Baca G, Schoenecker PL, Clohisy JC (2015) Are there sex-dependent differences in acetabular dysplasia characteristics? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 473: 1432-1439.