

Ann. Rep. Asahikawa
Med. Coll.
1989. Vol. 10, 1 ~ 12

CONNECTIONS ON SUBSPACES IN A FINSLER SPACE

Hiroshi YASUDA

Introduction. In the previous paper ([3], [4]),¹⁾ we have developed the theories of subspaces in a Finsler space M through three kinds of connections ($M\Gamma$, $TMD\Gamma$ and $TM\Gamma$) and their induced connections ($IM\Gamma$, $ITMD\Gamma$, $ITM\Gamma$). For investigating subspaces, two connections are usually considered. One is the induced connection and the other is the intrinsic one (if it can be defined). In general, the properties of the former become worse than those of the original connection on M . On the other hand, the theory constructed through the latter is more complicated. Therefore we have introduced some intermediate connections (called semi-induced connections) in [3] and [5].

The principal purpose of the present paper is to study the above connections. The terminologies and notations refer to papers [2] ~ [5].

§ 1. Preliminaries. Let M_n be an n -dimensional Finsler space with a fundamental function $L(x^i, y^i)$, and be endowed with a Matsumoto connection $M\Gamma = (\Gamma_{jk}^i, \Gamma_k^i, \widetilde{C}_{jk}^i)$, where \widetilde{C}_{jk}^i is a (-1) -homogeneous²⁾ tensor. The non-linear connection Γ_k^i and the h -connection Γ_{jk}^i are given by

$$(1.1) \quad \Gamma_k^i = G_k^i + T_k^i, \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{k\parallel j}^i + Q_{jk}^i = G_{jk}^i + T_{jk}^i + Q_{jk}^i,$$

where the symbol $\parallel j$ indicates the partial differentiation by y^j , G_k^i and $G_{jk}^i (= G_{k\parallel j}^i)$ are the non-linear connection and the h -connection of Berwald, T_k^i and Q_{jk}^i are (1) - and (0) -homogeneous tensors respectively and $T_{jk}^i = T_{k\parallel j}^i$. Now we

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

2) " (r) -homogeneous" means "positively homogeneous of degree r in y^i ".

consider an m -dimensional subspace M_m of M_n , which is represented by the equation

$$(1.2) \quad x^i = x^i(u^\alpha) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; \alpha = 1, \dots, m),$$

where we suppose that variables u^α form a coordinate system of M_m and the matrix with components $B^i_\alpha (= \partial x^i / \partial u^\alpha)$ is of rank m .

Let us denote the components of a vector y^i tangent to a curve in M_m by $y^{\alpha 3)}$ in terms of u^α -system. Then we have

$$(1.3) \quad y^i = B^i_\alpha y^\alpha, \quad y^i_{||\alpha} := \partial y^i / \partial y^\alpha = B^i_\alpha.$$

Further the induced fundamental function $\bar{L}(u^\alpha, y^\alpha)$ and the metric tensor $g_{\beta\gamma}$ (u^α, y^α) on M_m are given by

$$(1.4) \quad \bar{L} = L(x^i(u^\alpha), B^i_\alpha y^\alpha), \quad g_{\beta\gamma} = g_{jk} B^j_\beta B^k_\gamma := g_{jk} B^j_\beta B^k_\gamma,$$

where g_{jk} is the metric tensor on M_n .

Now we shall choose $n-m$ unit normal vectors N^i_a ($a = m+1, \dots, n$) at each point (u^α) of M_m as follows:

$$(1.5) \quad g_{ij} N^i_a N^j_b = \delta_{ab}, \quad B^i_\alpha N^b_i = 0, \quad N^b_i := g_{ij} N^j_b.$$

Put $B^i_\beta = g_{ij} B^j_\gamma g^{\gamma\beta}$, where $g^{\gamma\beta}$ is the reciprocal tensor of $g_{\gamma\beta}$. Then (B^i_β, N^b_i) is the inverse matrix of (B^i_β, N^b_i) . In this case, we have the following relations:

$$(1.6) \quad B^i_{||\beta} = C^{\beta}_{b\gamma} N^b_i, \quad N^i_{||\beta} = -2C^{\beta}_{b\gamma} B^i_\beta - \lambda^c_{b\gamma} N^i_c, \quad N^b_{||\beta} = \lambda^b_{c\gamma} N^c_i,$$

$$(1.7) \quad \lambda^c_{b\gamma} + \lambda^b_{c\gamma} = 2C^c_{b\gamma} = 2C^b_{c\gamma}, \quad \lambda^c_{b\gamma} := -N^c_i N^i_{||\beta},$$

where $C^{\beta}_{b\gamma} = C^i_{jk} N^j_b B^i_\beta B^k_\gamma$, $C^c_{b\gamma} = C^i_{jk} N^c_i N^j_b B^k_\gamma$ and $C^{\beta}_{jk} g_{si} = C_{jik}$ ($= \frac{1}{2} g_{i||\beta k}$).

The induced Matsumoto connection $IM\Gamma = (\Gamma^a_{\beta\gamma}, \Gamma^a_{\gamma\beta}, \widetilde{C}^a_{\beta\gamma})$ on M_m is defined as follows:

$$(1.8) \quad \Gamma^a_{\beta\gamma} = B^i_\beta (B^i_\gamma + \Gamma^i_{jk} B^k_\gamma), \quad \widetilde{C}^a_{\beta\gamma} = \widetilde{C}^i_{jk} B^i_\beta B^j_\gamma B^k_\gamma,$$

$$(1.9) \quad \Gamma^a_{\beta\gamma} = B^i_\beta (B^i_\gamma + \Gamma^i_{jk} B^j_\gamma B^k_\gamma) + \widetilde{C}^a_{\beta b} H^b_\gamma,$$

where

3) If no confusion occurs, then we shall use y^α in stead of the usual notation v^α

$$(1.10) \quad B_{\beta\gamma}^i = \partial B_{\gamma}^i / \partial u^{\beta}, \quad B_{\sigma\gamma}^i = B_{\beta\gamma}^i y^{\beta}, \quad \widetilde{C}_{\beta b}^a = \widetilde{C}_{j k}^i B_{\beta}^a B_{\delta}^k N_{\delta}^b,$$

$$(1.11) \quad H_{\gamma}^a = N_{\delta}^a (B_{\sigma\gamma}^i + \Gamma_{j k}^i B_{\delta}^k).$$

The normal curvature vector in a normal direction N_{δ}^i is given by (1.11), while the second fundamental tensor in the same direction is given by

$$(1.12) \quad H_{\beta\gamma}^a = N_{\delta}^a (B_{\beta\gamma}^i + \Gamma_{j k}^i B_{\beta\gamma}^{j k}) + \widetilde{C}_{\beta b}^a H_{\delta\gamma}^b, \quad \widetilde{C}_{\beta b}^a = \widetilde{C}_{j k}^i B_{\beta}^a B_{\delta}^k N_{\delta}^b N_{\delta}^i.$$

Let $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ be the h -curvature tensor with respect to $IM\Gamma$, which is calculated in the paper [3] by means of the generalized Gauss equation. If we contract this tensor by $y^{\alpha} y^{\beta}$, we have

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= R_{\alpha i \delta} B_{\delta}^{i k} + S_{\alpha i k h} B_{\delta}^i N_{\delta}^k N_{\delta}^h H_{\delta}^a H_{\delta}^b + B_{\delta}^i N_{\delta}^h (P_{\alpha i \delta h} H_{\delta}^a - P_{\alpha i k h} B_{\delta}^k H_{\delta}^a) \\ &+ H_{\delta}^a (g_{j k l \gamma} B_{\delta}^j N_{\delta}^k + \delta_{ab} H_{\delta}^b) - H_{\delta}^a (g_{j k l \beta} y^{\beta} B_{\delta}^j N_{\delta}^k + \delta_{ab} H_{\delta}^b), \end{aligned}$$

where $R_{\alpha i \delta h} = R_{j i k h} y^j y^k$, $S_{\alpha i k h} = S_{j i k h} y^j$, $P_{\alpha i \delta h} = P_{j i k h} y^j y^k$, $H_{\delta}^a = H_{\delta}^a y^{\gamma}$, $H_{\delta}^a = H_{\beta}^a y^{\beta} y^{\gamma}$, $H_{\delta}^a = H_{\beta}^a y^{\beta}$, $H_{\delta}^a = H_{\delta}^a y^{\gamma}$ and $R_{j i k h}$, $S_{j i k h}$, $P_{j i k h}$ are the h -, v -, $h\nu$ -curvature tensors with respect to $M\Gamma$ respectively.

§ 2. Semi-induced Matsumoto connections. We take a suitable $(0)p$ -homogeneous tensor $E_{\beta}^{\alpha\gamma}$ on M_m and put

$$(2.1) \quad \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\beta}^{\alpha\gamma} = \Gamma_{\beta}^{\alpha\gamma} + E_{\beta}^{\alpha\gamma}, \quad \widetilde{IM}\Gamma = (\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\beta}^{\alpha\gamma}, \Gamma_{\delta}^{\alpha\gamma}, \widetilde{C}_{\beta}^{\alpha\gamma}),$$

where $\Gamma_{\beta}^{\alpha\gamma}$, $\Gamma_{\delta}^{\alpha\gamma}$ and $\widetilde{C}_{\beta}^{\alpha\gamma}$ are the h -, non-linear and v -connections of $IM\Gamma$ respectively.

We shall call the above connection $\widetilde{IM}\Gamma$ a *semi-induced Matsumoto connection*. We denote the h -covariant differentiations with respect to $\widetilde{IM}\Gamma$ and $IM\Gamma$ by the symbols $\bar{\cdot}$ and \mid respectively. As for the v -covariant differentiations with respect to the above two connections, they are the same and denoted by the symbol \cdot . For the vectors related by (1.3) and $X^i = B_{\delta}^i X^{\delta}$, the following relations hold:

$$(2.2) \quad Dy^{\alpha} = B_{\delta}^{\alpha} Dy^{\delta}, \quad DX^{\alpha} = B_{\delta}^{\alpha} DX^{\delta},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \widetilde{D}X^{\alpha} = X^{\alpha} \cdot_{\gamma} du^{\gamma} + X^{\alpha} \mid_{\gamma} Dy^{\gamma} = DX^{\alpha} + E_{\beta}^{\alpha\gamma} X^{\beta} du^{\gamma},$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad Dy^i = dy^i + \Gamma^i_k dx^k, \quad DX^i = X^i|_k dx^k + X^i|_k Dy^k,$$

$$(2.5) \quad Dy^a = dy^a + \Gamma^a_\gamma du^\gamma, \quad DX^a = X^a|_\gamma du^\gamma + X^a|_\gamma Dy^\gamma.$$

Let $X^i_{j\beta}$ be an object defined on M_m such that it is a tensor on M_n of type (1,1) and, at the same time, a tensor on M_m of type (1,1). Then with respect to $\widetilde{IM}\Gamma$, the relative h -covariant derivative of the above object is defined as follows:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} X^i_{j\beta}{}_{;\gamma} &= \delta_\gamma X^i_{j\beta} + X^i|_{k\gamma} \Gamma^k_{j\gamma} - X^i_{k\beta} \Gamma^k_{j\gamma} + X^i_{j\beta} \widetilde{\Gamma}^a_{c\gamma} - X^i_{j\epsilon} \widetilde{\Gamma}^{\epsilon}_{\beta\gamma} \\ &= X^i_{j\beta}{}_{|\gamma} + X^i_{j\beta} E^a_{c\gamma} - X^i_{j\epsilon} E^{\epsilon}_{\beta\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

where δ_γ and $\Gamma^i_{k\gamma}$ are the same as in $IM\Gamma$ and $X^i_{j\beta}{}_{|\gamma}$ is the relative h -covariant one with respect to $IM\Gamma$.

The h -curvature tensor $\widetilde{R}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta}$ ($= g_{c\alpha} \widetilde{R}^{\epsilon}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$) of $\widetilde{IM}\Gamma$ is given by

$$(2.7) \quad \widetilde{R}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta} = R^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta} + E_{\beta\alpha\epsilon} \tau_{\gamma\delta}^\epsilon + [g_{\alpha\epsilon} E_{\beta\gamma|\delta}^\epsilon + E_{\beta\gamma}^\epsilon E_{c\alpha\delta} - \gamma|\delta],$$

where $E_{\beta\alpha\epsilon} = g_{\alpha\gamma} E_{\beta\gamma\epsilon}^\gamma$, $\tau_{\gamma\delta}^\epsilon = \Gamma_{\gamma\delta}^\epsilon - \Gamma_{\delta\gamma}^\epsilon$ and the symbol $\gamma|\delta$ means the interchange of indices γ and δ in the foregoing terms.

Contracting (2.7) by $y^\beta y^\gamma$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{R}_{\alpha o \delta} &= R_{\alpha o \delta} + E_{\alpha o \epsilon} \tau_{o\delta}^\epsilon + g_{\alpha\epsilon} (E_{o|\delta}^\epsilon - E_{\beta^o}^\epsilon y^\beta_{|\delta} - E_{o\gamma}^\epsilon y^\gamma_{|\delta} - E_{o\delta|\gamma}^\epsilon y^\gamma \\ &+ E_{\beta^o}^\epsilon y^\beta_{|\gamma} y^\gamma) + E_{o\delta}^\epsilon E_{c\alpha o} - E_{o\delta}^\epsilon E_{c\alpha o}, \end{aligned}$$

where the index o means the contraction by the vector y , for example $R_{\alpha o \delta} = R_{\beta\alpha\gamma\delta} y^\beta y^\gamma$ and $E_{\alpha o \epsilon} = E_{\beta\alpha\epsilon} y^\beta$ etc.

From (1.1) and (1.8), we have

$$(2.9) \quad y^\beta_{|\gamma} = D^\beta_\gamma + \widetilde{C}^\beta_{o\gamma} H^b_\gamma, \quad y^\beta_{|\gamma} y^\gamma = D^\beta_o + \widetilde{C}^\beta_{o\gamma} H^b_\gamma,$$

where $D^\beta_\gamma = D^i_k B^{\beta k}_\gamma$ and $D^i_k (= Q^i_k y^j)$ is the deflexion tensor of $M\Gamma$.

Applying (2.6) to B^i_β and using (1.12), we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad B^i_{\beta;\gamma} = B^i_{\beta|\gamma} - B^i_\epsilon E_{\beta\gamma}^\epsilon = N^i_b H^b_{\beta\gamma} - B^i_\epsilon E_{\beta\gamma}^\epsilon.$$

In view of (2.10), the tensor $H^b_{\beta\gamma}$ may be considered as the second fundamental tensor in a direction N^i_b with respect to $\widetilde{IM}\Gamma$.

In [3], we have considered a totally auto-parallel subspace with respect to

$IM\Gamma$. Also with respect to $\widetilde{IM}\Gamma$, we can consider the same subspace, in which each path in M_m with respect to $\widetilde{IM}\Gamma$ is always a path in M_n with respect to $M\Gamma$. In this case, Theorem 7.1 in [3] holds also with respect to $\widetilde{IM}\Gamma$.

An $M\Gamma$ is called a geo-path connection if any path with respect to the $M\Gamma$ is always a geodesic in M_n . If M_n is endowed with a geo-path connection $M\Gamma$, then it is seen that $\widetilde{IM}\Gamma$ is also a geo-path connection on M_m and any totally auto-parallel subspace becomes a totally geodesic subspace. In this case, Theorem 7.2 in [3] of course holds.

§ 3. Semi-induced STD-connection. Let M_n be endowed with an $STD\Gamma$ (or $STD\Gamma_o$), which are defined as follows [3]:

$$(3.1) \quad \Gamma^i_k = G^i_k + T^i_k, \quad \widetilde{C}^i_k = C^i_k \text{ (or } \widetilde{C}^i_k = 0),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \Gamma^i_{jk} = \Gamma^{*i}_{jk} + W^i_{jk}, \quad W^i_k = C_{jkr} T^{ri} - C^i_{jr} T^r_k - C^i_{kr} T^r_j$$

where T^i_k is a $(I)p$ -homogeneous tensor satisfying $T^o_k = T^i_o = 0$, Γ^{*i}_{jk} is the h -connection of Cartan and $T^{\gamma i} = T^{\gamma}_s g^{si}$.

These connections have the following properties:

- (1) metrical ($L_{1k} = 0$) (2) h -metrical ($g_{ij|k} = 0$)
 - (3) geo-path connection (4) h -symmetric ($\Gamma^i_{jk} = \Gamma^i_{kj}$)
- $$(3.3)$$
- (5) v -metrical ($g_{ij|k} = 0$) (for $STD\Gamma$)
 - (5') $g_{ij|k} = g_{ij|k} = 2C_{ijk}$ (for $STD\Gamma_o$)

We put

$$(3.4) \quad T^a_\gamma = T^i_k B^a_{i\gamma}, \quad C^a_\gamma = C^i_j N^j_b B^a_{\gamma i} (= C^a_{b\gamma}), \quad 2G^i = G^i_k y^k,$$

$$\overset{h}{H}^b_o = N^b_i (B^i_{oo} + 2G^i), \quad \widetilde{T}^a_\gamma = T^a_\gamma - C^a_\gamma H^b_o, \quad C^a_{\beta\gamma} = C^i_j B^a_i B^j_{\beta\gamma}.$$

In this case, the induced connections $ISTD\Gamma = (\Gamma^a_{\beta\gamma}, \Gamma^a_\gamma, C^a_{\beta\gamma})$ and $ISTD\Gamma_o = (\overset{o}{\Gamma}^a_{\beta\gamma}, \Gamma^a_\gamma, 0)$ are given by

$$(3.5) \quad \Gamma^a_\gamma = G^a_\gamma + \widetilde{T}^a_\gamma \quad (\text{in common}),$$

$$\overset{o}{\Gamma}^a_{\beta\gamma} = \overset{o}{\Gamma}^a_{\beta\gamma} + C^a_{\beta b} H^b_\gamma, \quad \overset{o}{\Gamma}^a_{\beta\gamma} = B^a_i (B^i_{\beta\gamma} + \Gamma^i_{jk} B^j_{\beta\gamma})$$

where G^a_γ is the intrinsic non-linear connection of Cartan on M_m .

Since $\widetilde{T}^o_\gamma = \widetilde{T}^o_o = 0$, by means of this tensor \widetilde{T}^a_γ , we can define an $STD\Gamma$

(or $STDF_o$) on M_m , which is called the semi-induced STD (or $STD(O)$)-connection and denoted by \widetilde{ISTDF} (or \widetilde{ISTDF}_o). In this case, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} &= \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha} + C_{\beta\gamma\epsilon} \widetilde{T}^{\epsilon\alpha} - C_{\beta\epsilon}^{\alpha} \widetilde{T}^{\epsilon}_{\gamma} - C_{\gamma\epsilon}^{\alpha} \widetilde{T}^{\epsilon}_{\beta} \\ &= \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} + E_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} + \overset{\circ}{E}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad [3]$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad E_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = C_{\gamma b}^{\alpha} H_{\beta}^b - C_{\beta\gamma b} H^{ba}, \quad \overset{\circ}{E}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = E_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} + C_{\beta b}^{\alpha} H_{\gamma}^b,$$

$\widetilde{T}^{\epsilon\alpha} = \widetilde{T}^{\epsilon}_{\delta} g^{\delta\alpha}$, $H^{ba} = H_{\epsilon}^b g^{\epsilon a}$ and $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{*\alpha}$ is the intrinsic h -connection of Cartan on M_m .

For $ISTDF$ and $ISTDF_o$, from (2.9), (3.1)~(3.5) and (3.7) we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} y^{\alpha}_{1\gamma} &= -T^{\alpha}_{\gamma}, \quad y^{\alpha}_{1\gamma} y^{\gamma} = 0 \quad (\text{in common}), \\ g_{\beta\epsilon 1\gamma} &= 0 \quad (\text{for } ISTDF), \quad g_{\beta\epsilon 1\gamma} = 2C_{\beta\epsilon b} H_{\gamma}^b \quad (\text{for } ISTDF_o), \\ E_{\alpha o}^{\epsilon} &= \overset{\circ}{E}_{\alpha o}^{\epsilon} = 0, \quad E_{\beta o}^{\epsilon} = 0, \quad E_{\alpha\gamma}^{\epsilon} = \overset{\circ}{E}_{\gamma o}^{\epsilon} = \overset{\circ}{E}_{o\gamma}^{\epsilon} = C_{\gamma b}^{\epsilon} H_{\alpha}^b, \\ \tau_{\alpha\gamma}^{\sigma} &= -C_{\gamma b}^{\sigma} H_{\alpha}^b, \quad \overset{\circ}{\tau}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} - \Gamma_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.8) to (2.8), we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \widetilde{R}_{\alpha\alpha\delta} = R_{\alpha\alpha\delta} - (C_{\alpha\delta b1\gamma} H_{\alpha}^b + C_{\alpha\delta b} H_{\alpha1\gamma}^b) y^{\gamma} + Z_{\alpha\delta} \quad (\text{or } \overset{\circ}{Z}_{\alpha\delta}),$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_{\alpha\delta} &= C_{\alpha\epsilon b} H_{\delta}^b T^{\epsilon}_{\alpha} - C_{\alpha\epsilon b} C_{\delta}^{\epsilon} H_{\alpha}^b H_{\delta}^c \quad (\text{for } ISTDF), \\ \overset{\circ}{Z}_{\alpha\delta} &= 2C_{\alpha\epsilon b} H_{\delta}^b T^{\epsilon}_{\alpha} + C_{\alpha\epsilon b} C_{\delta}^{\epsilon} H_{\alpha}^b H_{\delta}^c \quad (\text{for } ISTDF_o). \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_{ijk1\gamma} = C_{ijk1h} B_{\gamma}^h + C_{ijk1h} N_{\gamma}^h H_{\gamma}^b$ and $B_{\beta1\gamma}^i = N_{\gamma}^i H_{\beta}^b$, because of (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$(3.11) \quad C_{\alpha\delta b1\gamma} y^{\gamma} = P_{\alpha\delta b} + C_{\alpha\delta bc} H_{\alpha}^c + C_{\alpha bc} H_{\delta}^c + C_{\delta bc} H_{\alpha o}^c + C_{\alpha\delta k} N_{b1\gamma}^k y^{\gamma},$$

where $C_{\alpha\delta bc} = C_{ijk1h} B_{\alpha\delta}^i N_{\gamma}^h N_{\gamma}^k$, $C_{\alpha\delta k} = C_{ijk} B_{\alpha\delta}^j N_{\gamma}^k$ and $P_{\alpha\delta b} = P_{ijk} B_{\alpha\delta}^j N_{\gamma}^k$ and $P_{i k}^j (= P_{isk} g^{sj})$ is the hu -torsion tensor of Cartan.

It is known [3] that the h -covariant derivative $N^i_{b1\gamma}$ is given by

$$(3.12) \quad N^i_{b1\gamma} = (N^c_j N^j_{b1\gamma}) N^i_c - g^{\beta\epsilon} (g_{jk1\gamma} B_{\beta}^j N_{\gamma}^k + \delta_{bc} H_{\beta\gamma}^c) B^i_{\epsilon}.$$

Since $g_{jkl\gamma} = 0$ (or $2C_{jkh}N^bH^c_\gamma$), from (3.12) we have

$$(3.13) \quad C_{\alpha\delta k}N^b_{b1\gamma}y^\gamma = C_{\alpha\delta c}N^c_jN^j_{b1\gamma}y^\gamma - \delta_{bc}C_{\alpha\delta}H^c_{\epsilon\alpha} - (2C_{\alpha\delta}C_{\epsilon bc}H^c_{\epsilon\alpha}),$$

provided the term in parentheses is valid only for $ISTD\Gamma_o$.

Now we shall consider a totally h -auto-parallel subspace M_m of M_n , in which each h -path of M_m with respect to $\widetilde{I}M\Gamma$ is always an h -path with respect to $M\Gamma$. By the use of the methods in [3], from (2.2) and (2.3) we can deduce

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} Dy^i &= B^i_\gamma Dy^\gamma + N^i_b(H^b_\gamma du^\gamma), \\ DX^i &= B^i_\epsilon(\widetilde{D}X^\epsilon - E^{\epsilon}_\beta X^\beta du^\gamma) + N^i_b(H^b_{\beta\gamma} X^\beta X^\gamma + C^b_{\beta\gamma} X^\beta Dy^\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.14), we can state.

Proposition 3.1. M_m is totally h -auto-parallel with respect to $\widetilde{I}M\Gamma$ if and only if the following equations hold:

$$(3.15) \quad E^{\epsilon}_\beta + E^{\epsilon}_\gamma = 0, \quad H^b_\gamma = 0, \quad H^b_{\beta\gamma} + H^b_{\gamma\beta} = 0 \quad (b = m+1, \dots, n).$$

Suppose $M\Gamma = STD\Gamma$ (or $STD\Gamma_o$). Then from (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$(3.16) \quad Q^i_{jk} = \Gamma^i_{jk} - \Gamma^i_{kjl} = W^i_{jk} - P^i_{jk} - T^i_{jk}.$$

If $H^b_\gamma = 0$, then it follows from (3.7) and Theorem 7.2 in [3] that E^{ϵ}_β (or \dot{E}^{ϵ}_β) = 0, $H^b_{\beta\gamma} = Q^b_{\beta\gamma} (= Q^i_{jk}N^b_iB^i_{\beta\gamma})$ and $T^b_{\beta\gamma} (= T^i_{jk}N^b_iB^i_{\beta\gamma}) = 0$. Therefore from (3.15) and (3.16) we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad W^b_{\beta\gamma} (= W^i_{jk}N^b_iB^i_{\beta\gamma}) = P^b_{\beta\gamma} (= P^i_{jk}N^b_iB^i_{\beta\gamma}).$$

Conversely, $H^b_\gamma = 0$ and (3.17) imply (3.15). Consequently we have

Theorem 3.2. M_m is totally h -auto-parallel with respect to $\widetilde{I}STD\Gamma$ (or $\widetilde{I}STD\Gamma_o$) if and only if M_m is totally godesic ($H^b_\gamma = 0$) and the equation (3.17) holds.

Note 3.1. The above theorem is valid also for $ISTD\Gamma$ (or $ISTD\Gamma_o$).

Next we shall consider a totally n -parallel subspace M_m of M_n , in which each normal vector N^i_b is parallel along any curve in M_m with respect to $\widetilde{I}M\Gamma$. The absolute differential $\widetilde{D}N^i_b$ of N^i_b with respect to $\widetilde{I}M\Gamma$ is given by

$$(3.18) \quad \widetilde{D}N^i_b = N^i_{b,\gamma} du^\gamma + N^i_{b1\gamma} Dy^\gamma.$$

From (2.6) we have $N^i_{b,\gamma} = N^i_{b1\gamma}$. Therefore because of (3.18), the absolute

differential DN_b^i with respect to $IM\Gamma$ is identified with \widetilde{DN}_b^i . The theories of such subspaces with respect to $IM\Gamma$ and $\widetilde{IM}\Gamma$ are just the same. The theory with respect to $IM\Gamma$ has been investigated in [4].

§ 4. Semi-induced AMD-connection. An $STD\Gamma$ (or $STD\Gamma_o$) is called an $AMD\Gamma$ (or $AMD\Gamma_o$) if the tensor T^i_k in (2.1) is given by

$$(4.1) \quad T^i_k = f(x, y) L(x, y) h^i_k,$$

where $f(x, y)$ is a $(o)p$ -homogeneous scalar and h^i_k is the angular metric tensor.

For $AMD\Gamma$ (or $AMD\Gamma_o$), from (3.1), (3.2) and (4.1) we first have

$$(4.2) \quad \Gamma^i_k = G^i_k + fLh^i_k, \quad \Gamma^i_{jk} = \Gamma^{*i}_{jk} - fLC^i_{jk}.$$

$$(4.3) \quad Q^i_{jk} = -fLh^i_k - P^i_{jk} - T^i_{jk}, \quad D^i_k = -fLh^i_k, \quad Q^i_o = fLh^i_k,$$

where $Q^i_{jk} = \Gamma^i_{jk} - \Gamma^i_{k\parallel j}$, and $T^i_{jk} = (fLh^i_k)_{\parallel j}$.

Further we have

Lemma 4.1. *The contracted hv-curvature tensors $P^i_{oh} (= y^i P^i_{kh})$ are given as follows:*

$$(4.4) \quad P^i_{oh} = P^i_{hk} \quad (\text{for } AMD\Gamma), \quad P^i_{oh} = P^i_{hk} + fLC^i_{hk} \quad (\text{for } AMD\Gamma_o).$$

Proof. For $AMD\Gamma$, from (4.2) and (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^i_{oh} &= (\Gamma^i_{j\parallel h} - C^i_{j\parallel h} - C^i_{j\gamma} Q^{\gamma}_{hk}) y^j \\ &= P^i_{hk} - (fLC^i_{jk})_{\parallel h} y^j + C^i_{jh} D^j_k = P^i_{hk}. \end{aligned}$$

For $AMD\Gamma_o$, in the similar way we obtain

$$P^i_{oh} = \Gamma^i_{j\parallel h} y^j = P^i_{hk} - (fLC^i_{jk})_{\parallel h} y^j = P^i_{hk} + fLC^i_{hk}. \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

We put

$$(4.5) \quad T^b_{\gamma} = T^i_k N^b_i B^k_{\gamma}, \quad D^b_{\gamma} = D^i_k N^b_i B^k_{\gamma},$$

$$(4.6) \quad \overset{h}{H}^b_{\gamma} = N^b_i (B^i_{o\gamma} + G^i_k B^k_{\gamma}), \quad \overset{h}{H}^b_{\beta\gamma} = N^b_i (B^i_{\beta\gamma} + G^i_k B^k_{\beta\gamma}).$$

For the induced connection $IAMD\Gamma$ (or $IAMD\Gamma_o$), from (1.5), (1.6), (1.11), (4.1), (4.3), (4.5) and (4.6) we have

$$(4.7) \quad T_\gamma^b = D_\gamma^b = Q_{\gamma^o}^b = 0, \quad T_{\beta\gamma}^b = 0, \quad H_\gamma^b = \dot{H}_\gamma^b.$$

Further from (1.12), (4.1)~(4.3), (4.6) and (4.7) we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} T_\gamma^a &= \bar{f}\bar{L}h_\gamma^a, \quad Q_{\beta\gamma}^b = -P_{\beta\gamma}^b - \bar{f}\bar{L}C_{\beta\gamma}^b, \quad H_o^b = \dot{H}_\gamma^b, \\ H_{\beta^o}^b &= \dot{H}_\beta^b + [C_{\beta^o c}^b \dot{H}_c^o], \quad H_{\beta\gamma}^b = \dot{H}_{\beta\gamma}^b + Q_{\beta\gamma}^b + [C_{\beta^o c}^b \dot{H}_c^o], \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{f} = f(x^i(u^a), B_i^a y^a)$, $h_\gamma^a = h^i_k B_i^a B_\gamma^k$ and the terms in brackets vanish for $IAMD\Gamma_o$.

For the semi-induced connection $\widetilde{IAMD\Gamma}$ (or $\widetilde{IAMD\Gamma}_o$), from (3.5)~(3.7), (4.2) and (4.7) we have

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^a &= \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^a + E_{\beta\gamma}^a = \overset{o}{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^a + \overset{o}{E}_{\beta\gamma}^a, \\ \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^a &= \overset{o}{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^a + C_{\beta^o b}^a \dot{H}_\gamma^b, \quad \overset{o}{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^a = B_i^a (B_{\beta\gamma}^i + \Gamma^*_{j k} B_{\beta\gamma}^{j k}) - \bar{f}\bar{L}C_{\beta\gamma}^a, \\ E_{\beta\gamma}^a &= C_{\gamma^o b}^a \dot{H}_\beta^b - C_{\beta\gamma^o b} \dot{H}^{b\alpha}, \quad \overset{o}{E}_{\beta\gamma}^a = E_{\beta\gamma}^a + C_{\beta^o b}^a \dot{H}_\gamma^b. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Theorem 3.2 and (4.8) we can state

Proposition 4.1. *Let $J\Gamma$ be any one of the four connections $IAMD\Gamma$, $IAMD\Gamma_o$, $\widetilde{IAMD\Gamma}$ and $\widetilde{IAMD\Gamma}_o$. Then M_m is totally h-auto-parallel with respect to $J\Gamma$ if and only if M_m is totally geodesic and the following equation holds :*

$$(4.10) \quad P_{\beta\gamma}^b + \bar{f}\bar{L}C_{\beta\gamma}^b = 0.$$

Let M_n be endowed with an $AMD\Gamma$ (or $AMD\Gamma_o$) and a subspace M_m of M_n be totally ncd -free (or nc -constant). Then there exist n - m direct-free scalars $f^b(u^\beta, y^\beta)$ ($f^b_{\parallel\gamma} = 0$) (or constants f^b) such that

$$(4.11) \quad \dot{H}_o^b = \bar{L}^2 f^b \quad (b = m+1, \dots, n).$$

In this case, the square of the normal curvature $N(u^\beta, y^\beta)$ in y^β -direction at each point (u^β) is given by $N^2 = \delta_{bc} f^b f^c$.

The condition (4.11) implies the following two equivalent ones :

$$(4.12) \quad \dot{H}_\gamma^b = f^b y_\gamma - \frac{1}{2} \bar{L}^2 \lambda_{c\gamma}^b f^c, \quad y_\gamma := g_{\beta\gamma} y^\beta,$$

$$(4.13) \quad \dot{H}_{\beta\gamma}^a = f^a g_{\beta\gamma} - f^b (\lambda_{\beta^o b}^a y_\gamma + \lambda_{\beta\gamma}^a y_{\beta^o}) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{L}^2 f^b (\lambda_{\beta\gamma}^a \lambda_{\beta^o}^b - \lambda_{c\beta}^a \lambda_{b\gamma}^c).$$

Applying (4.4), (4.7), (4.8) and (4.11)~(4.13) to (1.13), we have

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{1}{2}(R_{\sigma\gamma\delta} + R_{\delta\sigma\gamma}) = \frac{1}{2}(R_{\sigma i o h} + R_{o h o i})B_{\gamma\delta}^{i h} + \bar{L}^2 N^2 h_{\gamma\delta} + \frac{1}{2}(U_{\gamma\delta} + V_{\gamma\delta})$$

where

$$(4.15) \quad U_{\gamma\delta} = \bar{L}^2 \left\{ \bar{L}^2 (\sum_a f^a \lambda_{b\gamma}^a \lambda_{c\delta}^b f^c - C_{ab\delta} \parallel_{\gamma} f^a f^b - \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \lambda_{b\gamma}^a \lambda_{c\delta}^a f^b f^c) \right. \\ \left. - (C_{ab\gamma} y_{\delta}^b + C_{ab\delta} y_{\gamma}^b) f^a f^b \right\},$$

$$(4.16) \quad V_{\gamma\delta} = -4\bar{L}^2 (\bar{f}\bar{L}C_{\gamma\delta a} + P_{\gamma\delta a})f^a + [2\bar{L}^3 \bar{f}C_{\gamma\delta a} f^a],$$

where the term in blackets vanishes for $IAMD\Gamma_o$.

We impose the following assumption :

$$(4.17) \quad \sum_b \lambda_{c\gamma}^b f^b = 0, \quad \lambda_{c\gamma}^b f^c = 0.$$

If we put $\bar{N}^i = N_b^i f^b$, then the above assumption means

$$(4.18) \quad g_{ij} N_b^i \bar{N}^j = 0, \quad g_{ij} N_b^i \bar{N}^j \parallel_{\gamma} = 0.$$

From (1.6) and (4.17) we have

$$(4.19) \quad C_{ab\gamma} f^a = 0.$$

We shall call an assumption (4.17) the *mixed normality condition* (or simply *mn-condition*) *with scalars* f^b .

In this case, it is easily seen that the tensor $U_{\gamma\delta}$ in (4.15) vanishes. Consequently we can state.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that M_n is endowed with an $AMD\Gamma$ (or $AMD\Gamma_o$) and a subspace M_m of M_n is totally ncd-free (or nc-constant) with $N^2 = \delta_{bc} f^b f^c$, and that the normals satisfy the mn-codition (4.17) with f^b and the tensor $V_{\gamma\delta}$ in (4.16) vanishes. Then if M_n is of scalar curvature R (resp. constant curvature R) with respect to $AMD\Gamma$ (or $AMD\Gamma_o$), then M_m is also of scalar curvature $(R + N^2)$ (resp. constant curvature $(R + N^2)$) with respect to $IAMD\Gamma$ (or $IAMD\Gamma_o$).*

Next we can state

Lemma 4.2. *If the normals satisfy the mn-condition (4.17) with direc-free scalars f^b , then the following relation holds :*

$$(4.20) \quad C_{a\delta b c} f^c = 2(C_{a\epsilon c} C_{\delta b}^{\epsilon} + C_{a\epsilon b} C_{\delta c}^{\epsilon}) f^b - [(C_{a\epsilon c} C_{\delta b}^{\epsilon} + C_{a\epsilon b} c_{\delta c}^{\epsilon}) f^b],$$

where the terms in blackets vanish for $IAMD\Gamma_o$.

Proof. Differentiating (4.19) partially by y^δ , we have

$$(4.21) \quad (C_{bc\alpha} f^b)_{\parallel\delta} = (C_{ij\parallel h} B_{\delta}^h N_b^i N_c^j + C_{ijk} N_{\parallel\delta}^i N_c^j + C_{ijk} N_b^i N_{c\parallel\delta}^j) B_a^k f^b = 0.$$

Applying (1.6) to (4.21) and using (4.17), (4.19) and the symmetric relation $C_{ij\parallel h} = C_{khi\parallel j}$, for $IAMD\Gamma_o$ we first obtain

$$(4.22) \quad C_{khi\parallel j} B_{a\delta}^{kh} N_b^i N_c^j f^b = 2(C_{acc} C_{\delta}^c + C_{aeb} C_{\delta}^e) f^b.$$

Since $B_c^i B^c = \delta^i_r - N_d^i N^d_r$, by the use of (4.19) we have

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} C_{c\delta d} C_a^c f^b &= C_{i\delta b} C_a^r (\delta^i_r - N_d^i N^d_r) f^b \\ &= C_{r\delta b} C_a^r f^b - C_{d\delta b} C_a^d f^b = C_{r\delta b} C_a^r f^b. \end{aligned}$$

For $IAMD\Gamma$, from (4.19), (4.22) and (4.23) we obtain

$$(4.24) \quad C_{khi\parallel j} B_{a\delta}^{kh} N_b^i N_c^j f^b = (C_{acc} C_{\delta}^c + C_{aeb} C_{\delta}^e) f^b.$$

Thus from (4.22) and (4.24) we have (4.20). Q. E. D.

From (3.9)~(3.11), (3.13), (4.8), (4.11), (4.12), (4.17), (4.19) and (4.20) we can deduce

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{R}_{\sigma\gamma\delta} + \widetilde{R}_{\delta\sigma\gamma}) &= \frac{1}{2}(R_{\sigma\gamma\delta} + R_{\delta\sigma\gamma}) - \bar{L}^2\{(P_{\gamma\delta b} - 2\bar{f}\bar{L}C_{\gamma\delta b})f^b \\ &+ 3\bar{L}^2C_{\gamma\delta b}C_{\delta}^c f^b f^c + C_{\gamma\delta c}N_j^c \bar{N}^j_{1\gamma} y^\gamma\} - [\bar{L}^3 \bar{f} C_{\gamma\delta b} f^b], \end{aligned}$$

where the term in blackets vanishes for $IAMD\Gamma_o$.

Applying (4.14)~(4.16) to (4.25), we obtain

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{R}_{\sigma\gamma\delta} + \widetilde{R}_{\delta\sigma\gamma}) &= \frac{1}{2}(R_{\sigma\gamma\delta} + R_{\delta\sigma\gamma}) B_{\gamma\delta}^i + \bar{L}^2 N^2 h_{\gamma\delta} \\ &- \bar{L}^2\{3(P_{\gamma\delta b} f^b + \bar{L}^2 C_{\gamma\delta b} C_{\delta}^c f^b f^c) + C_{\gamma\delta c} N_j^c \bar{N}^j_{1\gamma} y^\gamma\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the following differential equation :

$$(4.27) \quad 3(P_{\gamma\delta b} f^b + \bar{L}^2 C_{\gamma\delta b} C_{\delta}^c f^b f^c) + C_{\gamma\delta c} N_j^c \bar{N}^j_{1\gamma} y^\gamma = 0.$$

Then in view of (4.26) we can state

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that M_n is endowed with an AMD Γ (or AMD Γ_o) and a subspace M_m of M_n is totally ncd-free (resp. nc-constant) with $N^2 = \delta_{bc} f^b f^c$, and that the normals are chosen in such ways that they satisfy the equation (4.27)*

and the mn -condition (4.17) with f^b . Then if M_n is of scalar curvature R (resp. constant curvature R) with respect to $AMD\Gamma$ (or $AMD\Gamma_o$), then M_m is also of scalar curvature $(R + N^2)$ (resp. constant curvature $(R + N^2)$) with respect to $\widetilde{TAMD\Gamma}$ (or $\widetilde{TAMD\Gamma}_o$).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Matsumoto: The induced and intrinsic Finsler connections of hypersurfaces and Finslerian projective geometry, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **25** (1985).
- [2] H. Yasuda and M. Yoshida: On special hypersurfaces of a Finsler of a Finsler space, *Tensor, N. S.*, **42** (1985), 283-298.
- [3] H. Yasuda: A theory of subspaces in a Finsler space, *Ann. Rep. Asahikawa Med. Coll.*, **8** (1987), 1-43.
- [4] H. Yasuda: Special subspaces in a Finsler space, *Ann. Rep. Asahikawa Med. Coll.*, **9** (1988), 1-14.
- [5] H. Yasuda and M. Yoshida: A study of connections on hypersurfaces in a Finsler space, *Tensor, N. S.*, **46** (1987), 145-153.

(Mathematics, Asahikawa Medical College)