

## On Lie Derivatives on the Indicatrix Bundle over a Finsler Space

Hiroshi YASUDA

**Introduction.** In the paper [7]<sup>1)</sup>, transformations of the tangent bundle  $T(M)$  over a Finsler space  $M$ , which are generated by a general vector field on  $T(M)$ , were introduced, and point transformations of  $M$  and mappings among the tangent spaces were studied from a wider standpoint. The indicatrix bundle  $I(M)$  over  $M$  is a hypersurface of  $T(M)$  and transformations of  $I(M)$  may be considered as the restrictions of those of  $T(M)$  to  $I(M)$  under a certain condition. In the present paper, we shall find such a condition and introduce transformations of  $I(M)$ , which are generated by a vector field  $X$  tangential to  $I(M)$ . Further we shall show that any tensor field  $T$  on  $I(M)$  is obtained as the projection of a tensor field  $\tilde{T}$  on  $T(M)$  onto  $I(M)$  and the Lie derivative of  $T$  with respect to  $X$  may be also given by the projection of that of  $\tilde{T}$  onto  $I(M)$ . As its applications, we give conditions for  $X$  to be a killing (or a conformal killing) vector field with respect to a metric on  $I(M)$ . Finally we shall see that the  $D$ -connection on  $I(M)$  is obtained as the projection of the  $\tilde{D}$ -connection on  $T(M)$  onto  $I(M)$ . The terminologies and notations are referred to the paper [7] unless otherwise stated.

**§1. Transformations of the indicatrix bundle.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Finsler space with a fundamental function  $L(x, y)$  and the Cartan connection  $CG = (\Gamma^{*i}_{jk}, N^i_j, C^i_{jk})$ , and  $T(M) = \bigcup_{x \in M} T_x$ ,  $T_x$  being the tangent space at a point  $x \in M$ , be the tangent bundle over  $M$ . Then a vector field  $\tilde{X}$  on  $T(M)$  is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{X} &= u^i(x, y)\tilde{e}_i + v^i(x, y)\tilde{e}_{(i)} & (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \\ &= u^i\partial/\partial x^i + (v^i - N^i_j u^j)\partial/\partial y^i, \end{aligned}$$

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

where  $(\bar{e}_i)$  and  $(\tilde{e}_{(i)})$  are the horizontal and vertical bases, and  $u^i$  and  $v^i$  are the components of two vector fields on  $M$  which are homogeneous functions of degree 0 and 1 in  $y^i$  respectively.

Let  $\Phi = \{\phi_t | t \in I_\epsilon\}$  ( $I_\epsilon$ : an open interval) be a local one-parameter group of transformations generated by  $\tilde{X}$ . Then each  $\phi_t$  is defined as a solution

$$(1.2) \quad \phi_t: \bar{x}^i = \bar{x}^i(t; (x, y)), \quad \bar{y}^i = y^i(t; (x, y))$$

of the following differential equation:

$$(1.3) \quad dx^i/dt = u^i(x, y), \quad dy^i/dt = v^i(x, y) - N_j^i(x, y)u^j(x, y)$$

satisfying an initial condition

$$(1.4) \quad \bar{x}^i(0; (x, y)) = x^i, \quad \bar{y}^i(0; (x, y)) = y^i,$$

Let  $I(M) = \bigcup_{x \in M} I_x$ ,  $I_x$  being the indicatrix at a point  $x \in M$ , be the indicatrix bundle over  $M$ . Then  $I(M)$  is a hypersurface of  $T(M)$ , whose local equation is given by

$$(1.5) \quad L(x, y) = 1.$$

If we denote the restriction of  $\phi_t$  to  $I(M)$  by  $\psi_t$ , namely  $\psi_t = \phi_t|I(M)$ , then we can state

**Proposition 1.** *Each restriction  $\psi_t$  is a transformation of  $I(M)$  into  $I(M)$  if and only if the vector field  $v^i \partial/\partial x^i$  is indicatric, namely  $v^i y_i = 0$ .*

Proof. From (1.3) it follows that  $dL(x, y)/dt = v^i y_i/L$ . Therefore, the equation  $L(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 1$  holds along the solution (1.2) satisfying an initial condition  $L(x, y) = 1$  for  $t = 0$  if and only if the vector  $v^i$  is indicatric. Q.E.D.

In this case, if we consider  $dt$  as an infinitesimal constant, then the corresponding infinitesimal transformation may be written in the form

$$(1.6) \quad \phi_{dt}: \bar{x}^i = x^i + u^i(x, y)dt, \quad \bar{y}^i = y^i + (v^i(x, y) - N_j^i u^j(x, y))dt,$$

provided that the vector  $v^i$  is indicatric and  $L(x, y) = 1$ .

**§ 2. Tensor fields on  $I(M)$ .** We choose  $n$  vector fields  $\zeta_a^i$  ( $a = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) on  $M$  satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \zeta_a^i = l^i = y^i/L, \quad g_{ij} \zeta_a^i \zeta_b^j = \delta_{ab},$$

where  $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 L^2(x, y) / \partial y^i \partial y^j$ . Further, if we denote the inverse of the matrix  $(\zeta_a^i)$  by  $(\zeta_i^a)$ , then from (2.1) we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} g^{ij} &= \sum_a \zeta_a^i \zeta_a^j, & g_{ij} &= \sum_a \zeta_i^a \zeta_j^a, & l_i &= \partial L / \partial y^i, \\ \zeta_a^i &= g^{ij} \zeta_j^a, & \zeta_a^i l_i &= \zeta_i^a l^i = 0 \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n-1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $g^{ij}$  is the reciprocal tensor of  $g_{ij}$ . The coframe  $(\tilde{\omega}^i, \tilde{\omega}^{(i)})$  dual to  $(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_{(i)})$  on  $T(M)$  is given by

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{\omega}^i = dx^i, \quad \tilde{\omega}^{(i)} = dy^i + N_j^i dx^j.$$

Now we take an adapted orthogonal frame  $(e_a, e_{(a)})$  and its coframe  $(\omega^a, \omega^{(a)})$  on  $I(M)$ . These are defined as follows [6]:

$$(2.4) \quad e_a = \zeta_a^i e_i, \quad e_{(a)} = \zeta_a^i e_{(i)}, \quad \omega^a = \zeta_i^a \omega^i, \quad \omega^{(a)} = \zeta_i^a \omega^{(i)},$$

provided  $L(x, y) = 1$  and

$$(2.5) \quad e_i = \tilde{e}_i, \quad e_{(i)} = h_j^i \tilde{e}_{(i)}, \quad \omega^i = \tilde{\omega}^i, \quad \omega^{(i)} = h_j^i \tilde{\omega}^{(j)}, \quad \text{where} \\ h_j^i = h_j^i - l^i l_j.$$

Next we can take another frame and its coframe on  $I(M)$  given by

$$(2.6) \quad (e_i, e_{(a)}), \quad (\omega^i, \omega^{(a)}).$$

Then we can state

**Proposition 2.** *The frame and coframe in (2.6) are the projections of  $(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_{(i)})$  and  $(\tilde{\omega}^i, \tilde{\omega}^{(i)})$  on  $T(M)$  onto  $I(M)$ .*

Proof. At any point  $(x, y)$  of  $I(M)$  we have (2.5).  $(\tilde{e}_{(i)})$  and  $(\tilde{\omega}^{(i)})$  are the frame and coframe on the tangent space  $T_x$ . On the other hand, the projection of a tensor, for example,  $T_j^i$  on  $T_x$  onto the indicatrix  $I_x$  is given by  $T_{\beta}^{\alpha} = T_j^i \zeta_i^{\alpha} \zeta_{\beta}^j$  [4]. Then from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5) we have

$$T_{\beta}^{\alpha} e_{(a)} \otimes \omega^{(b)} = T_h^k h_k^i h_j^h e_{(i)} \otimes \omega^{(j)} = T_h^k h_k^i h_j^h \tilde{e}_{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)}. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

If the vector  $v^i$  is indicatric, then the projection  $X$  of the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  in (1.1) onto  $I(M)$  is given by

$$(2.7) \quad X = u^i e_i + v^a e_{(a)} = u^i e_i + v^i \tilde{e}_{(i)} = u^i \partial / \partial x^i + (v^i - N_j^i u^j) \partial / \partial y^i,$$

where  $v^a = v^i \zeta_i^a$  and  $L(x, y) = 1$ . Therefore, on  $I(M)$   $\tilde{X}$  is identified with  $X$  and the infinitesimal transformation generated by  $X$  corresponds to (1.6).

A tensor field  $\tilde{T}$  of (1, 1)-type on  $T(M)$  is given by

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{T} &= T^Y_Z(x, y) \tilde{e}_Y \otimes \tilde{\omega}^Z \quad (Y, Z = 1, 2, \dots, 2n) \\ &= T^i_j \tilde{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}^j + T^{(i)}_j \tilde{e}_{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}^j + T^i_{(j)} \tilde{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)} + T^{\{i\}}_{\{j\}} \tilde{e}_{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $T^i_j$ ,  $T^{(i)}_j$ ,  $T^i_{(j)}$  and  $T^{\{i\}}_{\{j\}}$  are the components of four tensor fields on  $M$ .

On the other hand, a tensor field  $T$  on  $I(M)$  is given by

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} T &= T^A_B e_A \otimes \omega^B \quad (A, B = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1) \\ &= T^a_b e_a \otimes \omega^b + T^{(a)}_b e_{(a)} \otimes \omega_b + T^a_{(\beta)} e_a \otimes \omega^{(\beta)} + T^{\{a\}}_{\{\beta\}} e_{(a)} \otimes \omega^{(\beta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} T^a_b &= T^i_j \zeta^a_i \zeta^j_b, & T^{(a)}_b &= T^{(i)}_j \zeta^a_i \zeta^j_b, & T^a_{(\beta)} &= T^i_{(j)} \zeta^a_i \zeta^j_{\beta}, \\ T^{\{a\}}_{\{\beta\}} &= T^{\{i\}}_{\{j\}} \zeta^a_i \zeta^j_{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Further it is seen from (2.2), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.10) that (2.9) is expressed in the form

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} T &= T^i_j e_i \otimes \omega^j + T^{(a)}_j e_{(a)} \otimes \omega^j + T^i_{(\beta)} e_i \otimes \omega^{(\beta)} + T^{\{a\}}_{\{\beta\}} e_{(a)} \otimes \omega^{(\beta)} \\ &= T^i_j e_i \otimes \omega^j + {}'T^{(i)}_j e_{(i)} \otimes \omega^j + {}'T^i_{(j)} e_i \otimes \omega^{(j)} + {}'T^{\{i\}}_{\{j\}} e_{(i)} \otimes \omega^{(j)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $T^{(a)}_j = T^{(i)}_j \zeta^a_i$ ,  $T^i_{(\beta)} = T^i_{(j)} \zeta^j_{\beta}$  and the symbol  $[\ ]$  indicates the indicatization with respect to the vertical indices ( $i$ ), namely

$$(2.12) \quad {}'T^{(i)}_j = T^{(r)}_j h^i_r, \quad {}'T^i_{(j)} = T^i_{(r)} h^r_j, \quad {}'T^{\{i\}}_{\{j\}} = T^{\{r\}}_{\{s\}} h^i_r h^s_j.$$

Thus from (2.8), (2.11) and Proposition 2 we can state

**Proposition 3.** Any tensor field  $T$  on  $I(M)$  is the projection of a tensor field  $\tilde{T}$  on  $T(M)$  onto  $I(M)$  and the field  $T$  is obtained from  $\tilde{T}$  in the way as (2.11).

### § 3. Lie derivatives.

Let  $\tilde{X}$  be a vector field on  $T(M)$  defined by (1.1). Then the Lie derivative  $L_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{T}$  of tensor field  $\tilde{T}$  in (2.8) with respect to  $\tilde{X}$  is given as follows [7]:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{T} &= L_{\tilde{X}} T^i_j \tilde{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}^j + L_{\tilde{X}} T^{(i)}_j \tilde{e}_{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}^j + L_{\tilde{X}} T^i_{(j)} \tilde{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)} \\ &\quad + L_{\tilde{X}} T^{\{i\}}_{\{j\}} \tilde{e}_{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)}, \\ L_{\tilde{X}} T^i_j \tilde{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}^j &= (L_{\tilde{X}} T^i_j) \tilde{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}^j + (T^i_r u^r_{||j}) \tilde{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)} \\ &\quad - (T^i_j W^i_r) \tilde{e}_{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}_j, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{T}_{(j)}^{(i)} \tilde{e}_{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}^j &= (L_{\tilde{X}} T_{(j)}^{(i)}) \tilde{e}_{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}^j - (T_{(j)}^{(r)} u_{\parallel r}^i) \tilde{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)} \\
 &\quad + (T_{(j)}^{(i)} u_{\parallel j}^r) \tilde{e}_{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)}, \\
 L_{\tilde{X}} T_{(j)}^{(i)} \tilde{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)} &= (L_{\tilde{X}} T_{(j)}^i) \tilde{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)} + (T_{(r)}^i W_j^r) \tilde{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}^j \\
 &\quad - (T_{(j)}^r W_r^i) \tilde{e}_{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)}, \\
 L_{\tilde{X}} T_{(j)}^{(i)} \tilde{e}_{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)} &= (L_{\tilde{X}} T_{(j)}^{(i)}) \tilde{e}_{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)} - (T_{(j)}^{(r)} u_{\parallel r}^i) \tilde{e}_i \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)} \\
 &\quad + (T_{(j)}^{(i)} W_j^r) \tilde{e}_{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}^j,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3. 1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{\tilde{X}} T_j^i &= T_{\parallel r}^i u^r - T_j^i u_{\parallel r}^r + T_r^i u_{\parallel j}^r + T_{\parallel r}^i v^r, \\
 L_{\tilde{X}} T_{(j)}^{(i)} &= T_{(j)\parallel r}^i u^r - T_{(j)}^{(r)} V_r^i + T_{(j)}^{(i)} u_{\parallel j}^r + T_{(j)\parallel r}^i v^r, \\
 L_{\tilde{X}} T_{(j)}^i &= T_{(j)\parallel r}^i u^r - T_{(j)}^r u_{\parallel r}^i + T_{(j)}^i V_j^r + T_{(j)\parallel r}^i v^r, \\
 L_{\tilde{X}} T_{(j)}^{(i)} &= T_{(j)\parallel r}^{(i)} u^r - T_{(j)}^{(r)} V_r^i + T_{(j)}^{(i)} V_j^r + T_{(j)\parallel r}^{(i)} v^r, \\
 W_j^i &= v_{\parallel j}^i + C_{j k 0}^i v^k + K_{0 j k}^i u^r, \quad V_j^i = v_{\parallel j}^i - C_{j k 0}^i u^k.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3. 2}$$

Let  $X$  and  $T$  be the projections of  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{T}$  onto  $I(M)$ . Then, if the vector  $v^i$  is indicatric, then we have seen that  $X = \tilde{X}$  on  $I(M)$ . And the Lie derivative  $L_X T$  of  $T$  with respect  $X$  can be regarded as the projection of (3.1) onto  $I(M)$ . Consequently by virtue of (3.1) and Proposition 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_X T &= L_X T_j^i e_i \otimes \omega^j + L_X T_{(j)}^{(a)} e_{(a)} \otimes \omega^j + L_X T_{(j)}^i e_i \otimes \omega^{(j)} \\
 &\quad + L_X T_{(j)}^{(a)} e_{(a)} \otimes \omega^{(j)}, \\
 L_X T_j^i e_i \otimes \omega^j &= (L_X T_j^i) e_i \otimes \omega^j + *(T_j^i u_{\parallel j}^r) e_i \otimes \omega^{(j)} \\
 &\quad - *(T_j^r W_r^i) e_{(i)} \otimes \omega^j, \\
 L_X T_{(j)}^{(a)} e_{(a)} \otimes \omega^j &= (L_X T_{(j)}^{(i)}) e_{(i)} \otimes \omega^j - *(T_{(j)}^i u_{\parallel r}^r) e_i \otimes \omega^{(j)} \\
 &\quad + *(T_{(j)}^{(i)} u_{\parallel j}^r) e_{(i)} \otimes \omega^{(j)}, \\
 L_X T_{(j)}^i e_i \otimes \omega^{(j)} &= (L_X T_{(j)}^i) e_i \otimes \omega^{(j)} + (T_{(r)}^i W_j^r) e_i \otimes \omega^j \\
 &\quad - *(T_{(j)}^r W_r^i) e_{(i)} \otimes \omega^{(j)}, \\
 L_X T_{(j)}^{(a)} e_{(a)} \otimes \omega^{(j)} &= (L_X T_{(j)}^{(i)}) e_{(i)} \otimes \omega^{(j)} - *(T_{(j)}^{(r)} u_{\parallel j}^r) e_i \otimes \omega^{(j)} \\
 &\quad + *(T_{(j)}^{(i)} W_j^r) e_{(i)} \otimes \omega^j,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3. 3}$$

where the symbol [\*] indicates the indicatrization with respect to the indices corresponding to vertical bases; for example, in the third term of the right side of the third expression in (3.3),  $*(T_{(j)}^{(i)} u_{\parallel j}^r) = T_{(j)}^{(s)} u_{\parallel i}^r h_s^i h_j^j$ , the indices  $i$  and  $j$  corresponding to  $e_{(i)}$  and  $\omega^{(j)}$ . Thus we have

**Proposition 4.** *The Lie derivative  $L_X T$  of a tensor field  $T$  on  $I(M)$*

with respect to a vector field  $X$  is given by (3.3) together with (3.2).

A metric on  $I(M)$  may be given by the projection of a metric on  $T(M)$  onto  $I(M)$ . On  $T(M)$  we take the following metric:

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{G} = \tilde{G}_1 + \tilde{G}_2, \quad \text{where } \tilde{G}_1 = g_{ij}\tilde{\omega}^i \otimes \tilde{\omega}^j \text{ and } \tilde{G}_2 = g_{ij}\tilde{\omega}^{(i)} \otimes \tilde{\omega}^{(j)}.$$

Then it follows from proposition 3 that the projection  $G$  of  $\tilde{G}$  onto  $I(M)$  is given by

$$(3.5) \quad G = G_1 + G_2, \quad \text{where } G_1 = g_{ij}\omega^i \otimes \omega^j \text{ and } G_2 = h_{ij}\omega^{(i)} \otimes \omega^{(j)}.$$

Noticing  $u^i|_j y^j = 0$  and  $v^i y_i = 0$ , from Proposition 4 we have

$$(3.6) \quad L_X G_1 = (u_{i|j} + u_{j|i} + 2C_{ijk}v^k)\omega^i \otimes \omega^j + g_{ir}u^r|_j \omega^i \otimes \omega^{(j)} \\ + g_{rj}u^r|_i \omega^{(i)} \otimes \omega^j,$$

$$(3.7) \quad L_X G_2 = (v_i|_j + v_j|_i - 2C_{ijk}u^k)\omega^{(i)} \otimes \omega^{(j)} + g_{ir}W^r_s h^s_j \omega^i \otimes \omega^{(j)} \\ + g_{rj}W^r_s h^s_i \omega^{(i)} \otimes \omega^j.$$

Since  $l^i = y^i/L = y^i$  on  $I(M)$ , we have

$$(3.8) \quad \omega^{(i)} = h^i_j(dl^j + \Gamma^{*j}_{kr}l^r dx^k) = dl^i + N^i_j dx^j = Dl^i,$$

which is indicatric. Then we have

**Lemma.** For a vector  $Z_i$ ,  $Z_i\omega^{(i)} = 0$  holds if and only if

$$(3.9) \quad Z_i = Z_j h^j_i = 0.$$

Proof. We have  $Z_i\omega^{(i)} = Z_i(h^i_j\omega^{(j)}) = Z_i(\zeta^i_a \zeta^a_j)\omega^{(j)} = Z_i\zeta^i_a \omega^{(a)} = 0$ .

Since  $\omega^{(a)}$  ( $a = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) are independent, we get  $Z_i\zeta^i_a = 0$  and hence (3.9) follows. The converse is evident. Q.E.D.

**Remark.** If  $Z_i$  is indicatric, then  $Z_i\omega^{(i)} = 0$  implies  $Z_i = 0$  because of (3.9).

Taking account of homogeneity of  $u^i$  and  $v^i$ , from (3.2), (3.6), (3.7) and Remark we can state

**Theorem 1.** A vector field  $X$  on  $I(M)$  is a killing vector one with respect to  $G$  if and only if the following equations hold:

$$(3.10) \quad u_{i|j} + u_{j|i} + 2C_{ijk}v^k = 0, \quad v_i|_j + v_j|_i - 2C_{ijk}u^k = 0, \\ u^i|_j = 0, \quad (v^i|_r + C^i_{rk}v^k + K^i_{rk}u^k)h^r_j = 0.$$

Immediately we have

**Corollary 1.1.** A vector field  $X = u^i e_i$  on  $I(M)$  is killing vector one with respect  $G$  if and only if the following equations hold:

$$(3. 11) \quad u_{i|j} + u_{j|i} = 0, \quad u^i{}_{||j} = 0, \quad C_{ij k|0} u^k = (K_{0jk}^i - K_{0k}^i l_j/L) u^k = 0.$$

**Corollary 1.2.** A vector field  $X = v^i e_{(i)}$  on  $I(M)$  is a killing vector one with respect to  $G$  if and only if the following equations hold:

$$(3. 12) \quad C_{ijk} v^k = 0, \quad v_{i||j} + v_{j||i} = 0, \quad v^i{}_{|j} + C_{j k|0}^i v^k - v^i{}_{|0} l_j/L = 0.$$

For a conformal killing vector field, we have

**Theorem 2.** A vector field  $X$  on  $I(M)$  is a conformal killing vector one with respect to  $G$  if and only if the following equations hold:

$$(3. 13) \quad u_{i|j} + u_{j|i} + 2C_{ijk} v^k = 2\rho g_{ij}, \quad v_i{}_{|j} + v_j{}_{|i} - 2C_{ij k|0} u^k = 2\rho h_{ij}, \\ u^i{}_{||j} = 0, \quad (v^i{}_{|r} + C_{r k|0}^i v^k + K_{0rk}^i u^k) h_j^r = 0.$$

In this case, the scalar  $\rho$  is given by

$$(3. 14) \quad \rho = (u^i{}_{|i} + C_i v^i)/n = (v^i{}_{|i} - C_{i|0} u^i)/(n - 1).$$

**Corollary 2.1.** A vector field  $X = u^i e_i$  on  $I(M)$  is a conformal killing vector one if and only if the following equations hold:

$$(3. 15) \quad u_{i|j} + u_{j|i} = \rho g_{ij}, \quad C_{ij k|0} u^k = -\rho h_{ij}, \quad u^i{}_{||j} = 0, \\ (K_{0jk}^i - K_{0k}^i l_j/L) u^k = 0.$$

In this case, the scalar is given by  $\rho = u^i{}_{|i}/n = -C_{i|0} u^i/(n - 1)$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** A vector field  $X = v^i e_{(i)}$  on  $I(M)$  is a conformal killing vector one if and only if  $X$  is a killing vector field.

Proof. From (3.13) we have  $C_{ijk} v^k = \rho g_{ij}$ , contraction of which by  $l^i l^j$  yields  $\rho = 0$ . Q.E.D.

**§ 4. Connections.** On  $T(M)$  we consider a connection such that increments of the frame  $(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_{(i)})$  are given by

$$(4. 1) \quad d\tilde{e}_j = \tilde{\omega}_j^i \tilde{e}_i + \tilde{\omega}_j^{(i)} \tilde{e}_{(i)}, \quad d\tilde{e}_{(j)} = \tilde{\omega}_{(j)}^i \tilde{e}_i + \tilde{\omega}_{(j)}^{(i)} \tilde{e}_{(i)},$$

where

$$(4. 2) \quad \tilde{\omega}_j^i = \Gamma^{*i}_{jk} \tilde{\omega}^k + C_{jk}^i \tilde{\omega}^{(k)}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{(j)}^{(i)} = \tilde{\omega}_j^i, \quad \tilde{\omega}_j^{(i)} = -(B_{jk}^i \tilde{\omega}^k + P_{jk}^i \tilde{\omega}^{(k)}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\omega}_{(j)}^i &= B_{jk}^i \tilde{\omega}^k + P_{jk}^i \tilde{\omega}^{(k)}, & B_{jk}^i &= LC_{jk}^i + R_{0^i jk} / L, & P_{jk}^i &= C_{jk0}^i, \\ B_{jk}^i &= B_{sk}^r g_{rj} g^{si}, & P_{jk}^i &= P_{jk}^i \text{ (necessarily valid)}.\end{aligned}$$

We shall call such a connection the  $\tilde{D}$ -connection on  $T(M)$ . Now we shall seek for the projection of this connection onto  $I(M)$ . First, according to Proposition 2 the relation (4.1) may be written in the form

$$(4.3) \quad de_j = \omega_j^i e_i + \omega_j^{(a)} e_{(a)}, \quad de_{(a)} = \omega_{(a)}^i e_i + \omega_{(a)}^{(g)} e_{(g)}.$$

Next, for  $\omega_j^i$  we have

$$(4.4)_1 \quad \omega_j^i = \Gamma_{jk}^*{}^i \omega^k + C_{jk}^i \zeta_\alpha^k \omega^{(\alpha)} = \Gamma_{jk}^*{}^i \omega^k + C_{jk}^i \omega^{(k)} \quad (L(x, y) = I),$$

which are, because of (3.8), the connection forms of Cartan.

For  $\omega_j^{(a)}$  and  $\omega_{(a)}^i$ , we have

$$(4.4)_2 \quad \omega_j^{(a)} = \omega_j^{(i)} \zeta_i^a, \quad \omega_{(a)}^i = \omega_{(j)}^i \zeta_{(a)}^j,$$

where  $\omega_j^{(i)} = -(B_{jk}^i \omega^k + P_{jk}^i \omega^{(k)})$  and  $\omega_{(j)}^i = B_{jk}^i \omega^k + P_{jk}^i \omega^{(k)}$ .

For  $\omega_{(a)}^{(g)}$ , we have

$$(4.4)_3 \quad \omega_{(a)}^{(g)} = \zeta_{(a)}^i \omega_j^i \zeta_i^g + \zeta_i^g d\zeta_{(a)}^i.$$

Thus we have obtained the projection of the  $\tilde{D}$ -connection onto  $I(M)$ . On the other hand, on  $I(M)$  we have the  $D$ -connection [6]. In this case, we can prove that the above projection is identified with the  $D$ -connection. Hence we have

**Proposition 5.** *The  $D$ -connection on  $I(M)$  is obtained as the projection of the  $\tilde{D}$ -connection on  $T(M)$  onto  $I(M)$ .*

On  $T(M)$  we can take another connection. This is defined as follows: In (4.1) and (4.2),  $\tilde{\omega}_{(j)}^i = \tilde{\omega}_{(j)}^i = 0$ , namely

$$(4.5) \quad d\tilde{e}_j = \tilde{\omega}_{(j)}^i \tilde{e}_i, \quad d\tilde{e}_{(j)} = \tilde{\omega}_{(j)}^i \tilde{e}_{(i)}.$$

Such a connection will be called the  $\tilde{K}$ -connection on  $T(M)$ . On the other hand, on  $I(M)$  we have the  $K$ -connection [5], [6]. Concerning this, we have

**Corollary 5.1.** *The  $K$ -connection on  $I(M)$  is obtained as the projection of the  $\tilde{K}$ -connection on  $T(M)$  onto  $I(M)$ .*



As for the Lie derivatives of the above connections, we shall discuss them in later papers.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Matsumoto, M. Foundation of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces, to appear.
- [2] Rund, H. The differential geometry of Finsler spaces. *Grundlehr. Math. Wiss.* **101**, Springer Verlag, 1959.
- [3] Yano, K. The theory of Lie derivatives and its applications. *North-Holland Publ.*, 1957.
- [4] Yasuda, H. On the indicatrices of a Finsler space. *Tensor N.S.* **3 3** 1979, 213-221.
- [5] Yasuda, H. On the indicatrix bundle endowed with the K-connection over a Finsler space. *Ann. Rep. Asahikawa Med. Coll.* 1979, Vol. **1** , 117-124.
- [6] Yasuda, H. and Fukui, M. On the curvature tensors of the indicatrix bundle over a Finsler space. *ibid.* 1980, Vol. **2** , 1-21.
- [7] Yasuda, H. On transformations of Finsler spaces. *Tensor N.S.* **3 4** , 1980, 316-326.

(Mathematics, Asahikawa Medical College)