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Chiari Pelvic Osteotomy for Advanced Osteoarthritis in Patients with Hip Dysplasia

BY HIROSHI ITO, MD, TAKEO MATSUNO, MD, AND AKIO MINAMI, MD

Investigation performed at Asahikawa Medical College, Asahikawa, Japan

Background: It is not clear whether a Chiari pelvic osteotomy performed for the treatment of advanced osteoarthritis can delay the need for total hip arthroplasty. We present the mid-term results of the Chiari pelvic osteotomy performed for the treatment of Tönnis grade-3 osteoarthritis (large cysts, severe narrowing of the joint space, or severe deformity or necrosis of the head with extensive osteophyte formation), with a particular focus on whether this procedure can delay the need for total hip arthroplasty.

Methods: We followed thirty-two hips in thirty-one patients with Tönnis grade-3 osteoarthritis who had refused total hip arthroplasty and had been treated with a Chiari pelvic osteotomy. The mean age at the time of surgery was 35.2 years. The mean duration of follow-up was 11.2 years, at which time clinical evaluation with the Harris hip score and radiographic evaluation were performed.

Results: The average Harris hip score improved from 52 points preoperatively to 77 points at the time of follow-up: the average pain score improved from 20 to 31 points. Three hips with a hip score of <70 points required total hip arthroplasty. With a hip score of <70 points as the end point, the cumulative rate of survival at ten years was 72%. The clinical outcome was significantly influenced by the preoperative center-edge angle (p = 0.004), the preoperative acetabular head index (p = 0.039), achievement of the appropriate osteotomy level (p = 0.011), and superior migration (p = 0.009) and lateral migration (p = 0.026) of the femoral head.

Conclusions: Although the clinical results were inferior to those of total hip arthroplasty, Chiari pelvic osteotomy may be an option for young patients with advanced osteoarthritis who prefer a joint-conserving procedure to total hip arthroplasty and accept a clinical outcome that is predicted to be less optimal than that of total hip arthroplasty. Moderate dysplasia and moderate subluxation without complete obliteration of the joint space and a preoperative center-edge angle of at least −10° are desirable selection criteria.

Level of Evidence: Therapeutic study, Level IV (case series [no, or historical, control group]). See Instructions to Authors for a complete description of levels of evidence.
ondary to hip dysplasia. Preoperative radiographs of all thirty-four hips showed grade-3 osteoarthritis according to the criteria proposed by Tönnis—i.e., large cysts in the femoral head or acetabulum, severe narrowing of the joint space, or severe deformity or necrosis of the head with extensive osteophyte formation. Preoperative radiographs of these hips did not show, however, complete obliteration of the joint space. The patients had refused total hip arthroplasty after being told that a future revision arthroplasty was likely. They preferred to undergo a Chiari pelvic osteotomy as a joint-preserving option with the knowledge that postoperative functional improvement might be limited. Two hips (two patients) were lost to follow-up. The remaining thirty-two hips in thirty-one patients were available for clinical and radiographic review. The average patient age at the time of the index surgery was 35.2 years (range, sixteen to fifty years), and the average patient weight was 56.3 kg (range, 45 to 77 kg). Four patients were male, and twenty-seven were female. Seventeen left hips and fifteen right hips were treated. The mean duration of follow-up was 11.2 years (range, 5.0 to 24.5 years). Each patient had a minimum of 45° of flexion and 10° of abduction before the surgery.

Three different approaches were used for this procedure. An anterior iliofemoral approach without a trochanteric osteotomy was used, with the incision described by Chiari, in the first three hips that were treated. The pelvic osteotomy line was cut straight with a Gigli saw. In the next thirteen hips, treated from 1978 to 1990, a posterolateral approach with a trochanteric osteotomy was used to achieve the pelvic osteotomy at the most appropriate level and to advance the greater trochanter distally (Figs. 1-A through 2-C). A dome-shaped pelvic osteotomy was cut with use of a reciprocating saw. In the remaining sixteen hips, treated from 1990 to 1998, an Ollier lateral approach with a trochanteric osteotomy was used. Intraoperative radiography or fluoroscopy was used routinely. Fixation was achieved...
with use of bone-pegs in seven hips and Kirschner wires or metal screws in twenty-five hips. A femoral valgus osteotomy was also performed in three hips in which the femoral head was distorted and in which preoperative radiographs had shown good congruity between the femoral head and the acetabulum. A Chiari pelvic osteotomy and distal advancement of the greater trochanter was performed with use of an Ollier lateral approach.

Neither postoperative traction nor cast immobilization was used. After two weeks of bed rest, the patients were allowed to use a wheelchair, and walking without weight-bearing was allowed as tolerated. Partial weight-bearing was begun six weeks after the surgery when a pelvic osteotomy alone had been performed and eight weeks after the surgery when combined pelvic and femoral osteotomies had been performed. Full weight-bearing was started twelve weeks postoperatively.

Clinical evaluation was performed according to the Harris hip-scoring system. Evaluation of preoperative and follow-up anteroposterior and lateral radiographs included measurement of the center-edge angle of Wiberg, the acetabular head index, and the acetabular angle of Sharp. The acetabular head index is the same as the acetabulum-femoral head quotient described by Heyman and Hernndon. Lateral and superior migration of the femoral head was measured by comparing initial postoperative radiographs with those made with use of bone-pegs in seven hips and Kirschner wires or metal screws in twenty-five hips. A femoral valgus osteotomy was also performed in three hips in which the femoral head was distorted and in which preoperative radiographs had shown good congruity between the femoral head and the acetabulum. A Chiari pelvic osteotomy and distal advancement of the greater trochanter was performed with use of an Ollier lateral approach.

Neither postoperative traction nor cast immobilization was used. After two weeks of bed rest, the patients were allowed to use a wheelchair, and walking without weight-bearing was allowed as tolerated. Partial weight-bearing was begun six weeks after the surgery when a pelvic osteotomy alone had been performed and eight weeks after the surgery when combined pelvic and femoral osteotomies had been performed. Full weight-bearing was started twelve weeks postoperatively.

Clinical evaluation was performed according to the Harris hip-scoring system. Evaluation of preoperative and follow-up anteroposterior and lateral radiographs included measurement of the center-edge angle of Wiberg, the acetabular head index, and the acetabular angle of Sharp. The acetabular head index is the same as the acetabulum-femoral head quotient described by Heyman and Hernndon. Lateral and superior migration of the femoral head was measured by comparing initial postoperative radiographs with those made with use of bone-pegs in seven hips and Kirschner wires or metal screws in twenty-five hips. A femoral valgus osteotomy was also performed in three hips in which the femoral head was distorted and in which preoperative radiographs had shown good congruity between the femoral head and the acetabulum. A Chiari pelvic osteotomy and distal advancement of the greater trochanter was performed with use of an Ollier lateral approach.

Neither postoperative traction nor cast immobilization was used. After two weeks of bed rest, the patients were allowed to use a wheelchair, and walking without weight-bearing was allowed as tolerated. Partial weight-bearing was begun six weeks after the surgery when a pelvic osteotomy alone had been performed and eight weeks after the surgery when combined pelvic and femoral osteotomies had been performed. Full weight-bearing was started twelve weeks postoperatively.

Clinical evaluation was performed according to the Harris hip-scoring system. Evaluation of preoperative and follow-up anteroposterior and lateral radiographs included measurement of the center-edge angle of Wiberg, the acetabular head index, and the acetabular angle of Sharp. The acetabular head index is the same as the acetabulum-femoral head quotient described by Heyman and Hernndon. Lateral and superior migration of the femoral head was measured by comparing initial postoperative radiographs with those made
at the time of follow-up. The level of the osteotomy was considered to be appropriate when it had been placed between 0 and 10 mm from the superior osseous margin of the acetabulum. Sphericity of the femoral head was also measured preoperatively, with use of Mose circle templates. The femoral head was classified as regular when it was in the zone defined by concentric circles spaced 2 mm apart, irregular when it was in the zone defined by concentric circles spaced 6 mm apart, and very irregular when it was in the zone defined by concentric circles spaced ≥7 mm apart.

Statistical analysis was performed with use of StatView statistical software (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina). Univariate analysis included the chi-square test, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, and the Mann-Whitney U test. Multivariate analysis with the Scheffé post hoc test was performed when a significant difference was demonstrated by one-factor analysis of variance. A probability value of <0.05 was considered significant. Kaplan-Meier survivorship curves were calculated to examine the rate of failure with 95% confidence intervals. The end point was defined as a hip score of <70 points at the latest follow-up examination or the performance of a total hip arthroplasty.

Results

The mean preoperative Harris hip score (and standard deviation) was 52 ± 10 points, which improved to 77 ± 14 points at the most recent follow-up examination (p < 0.0001). The mean preoperative pain score was 20 ± 6 points (of 44 possible points), which improved to 31 ± 9 points at the time of follow-up (p < 0.0001). The mean preoperative functional score, including points subtracted for deformity and points for range of motion, improved from 32 ± 6 points (of 56 possible points) to 45 ± 6 points at the time of follow-up (p < 0.0001). A limp because of a mild limb-length discrepancy and a limited range of motion were the main reasons for lower functional scores at the time of follow-up. The average preoperative limb-length discrepancy was −0.8 cm (range, −3 to +2 cm), and the average discrepancy at the time of follow-up was −1.7 cm (range, −4 to +1 cm). Nineteen hips (59%) were associated with a slight or moderate limp at the time of follow-up, and in that group the limb on the involved side was an average of 1.5 cm shorter than the contralateral limb. These hips were either not or only mildly painful. Six hips had a high-riding greater trochanter preoperatively, and the greater trochanter was advanced distally by 1 to 2.5 cm at the time of the surgery.

At the latest follow-up examination, the hip score was ≥70 points for twenty-three hips (72%) and <70 points for nine. Of the nine hips, three treated with Chiari osteotomy alone required total hip arthroplasty, 14.0, 8.0, and 5.1 years after the osteotomy. The hip scores of these three patients just prior to the total hip arthroplasty were 44, 46, and 56 points.

The hip scores of the three hips treated with simultaneous femoral valgus osteotomy and Chiari osteotomy were 52, 52, and 40 points preoperatively and 74, 78, and 68 points at the time of follow-up, respectively. Those scores were not significantly different from the scores for the twenty-nine hips treated with Chiari osteotomy alone. With a hip score of <70 points as the end point, the cumulative rates of survival were 72% (95% confidence interval, 0.64% to 0.81%) at 10.0 years and 54% (95% confidence interval, 0.37% to 0.71%) at 20.0 years (Fig. 3).

The radiographic assessment showed progression of the osteoarthritis, as reflected by more extensive osteophytes or larger cysts, in twenty hips (63%). The size of the cysts in the acetabulum or the femoral head diminished in eight hips (25%). The average preoperative center-edge angle was −6°, which improved to an average of 30° postoperatively. The average acetabular head index improved from 52% preoperatively to 89% postoperatively, and the average Sharp angle improved from 50° to 40°.

The relationship between the clinical results and various factors is summarized in Table I. Multivariate analysis with the
Scheffé post hoc test showed significant associations between the Harris hip score and the preoperative center-edge angle (p = 0.004), the preoperative acetabular head index (p = 0.039), the appropriate osteotomy level (p = 0.011), superior migration of the femoral head (p = 0.009), and lateral migration of the femoral head (p = 0.026). Twenty-two (85%) of twenty-six hips with a preoperative center-edge angle of $-10^\circ$ or more had a hip score of 70 points or more. This percentage was significantly greater than the percentage of hips (17%, one of six) with a preoperative center-edge angle of less than $-10^\circ$ that had a hip score of 70 points or more (p = 0.0008).

No hip had nonunion of an osteotomy site, including the greater trochanter. There were no other major complications such as deep infection or pulmonary embolism.

**Discussion**

Reynolds\(^1\) found the clinical results of Chiari pelvic osteotomy to be unsatisfactory for the treatment of advanced osteoarthritis and concluded that it is not indicated for patients with severe degeneration. Matsumo et al.\(^7\) stated that a Chiari pelvic osteotomy should not be done in patients with severe arthritic changes; however, the results in those patients were deemed to be disappointing in comparison with those of patients with no or early-stage osteoarthritis. We propose that if a reconstructive procedure for advanced osteoarthritis maintains acceptable joint function and delays the need for total hip arthroplasty, it can be considered to be an effective procedure.

Ferguson et al.\(^7\) reported technical problems during the surgery in 23% of 305 hips that underwent total hip arthroplasty after failure of an intertrochanteric osteotomy; the perioperative complication rate was 11.8%. Few reports have described complications and technical problems associated with total hip arthroplasty after failed Chiari osteotomy.\(^8,10,20,21\)

Lack et al.\(^8\) reported that twenty of 100 hips underwent total hip arthroplasty at an average of 11.5 years after a previous Chiari osteotomy and that the osteotomy made the implantation of an acetabular component much easier. Migaud et al.\(^20\) reported that eleven (12%) of ninety hips were converted to a total hip arthroplasty at an average of six years after a Chiari osteotomy and that all of the arthroplasties were easily performed without the need for acetabular bone-grafting. Hashemi-Nejad et al.\(^21\) reported perioperative complications after only one of twenty-eight total hip arthroplasties performed following a Chiari osteotomy and after five of fifty primary procedures (i.e., without a prior osteotomy) in a well-matched control group. There were no technical problems during and no complications after the three total hip arthroplasties that we performed following a Chiari osteotomy.

---

**Table I Relationship Between the Clinical Results and Various Factors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Hip Score ≥70 points* (N = 23)</th>
<th>Hip Score &lt;70 points* (N = 9)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration of follow-up (yr)</td>
<td>11.1 ± 4.3</td>
<td>11.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>0.916†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>56 ± 9</td>
<td>58 ± 6</td>
<td>0.275†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center-edge angle(^6) (deg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>−4 ± 5</td>
<td>−10 ± 5</td>
<td>0.008†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>31 ± 6</td>
<td>30 ± 7</td>
<td>0.784†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetabular head index(^4) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>53 ± 5</td>
<td>48 ± 5</td>
<td>0.034†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>89 ± 8</td>
<td>89 ± 6</td>
<td>0.689†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharp angle(^7) (deg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>50 ± 3</td>
<td>52 ± 5</td>
<td>0.262†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>40 ± 3</td>
<td>41 ± 3</td>
<td>0.485†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphericity (no. of hips)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.273†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very irregular</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.4</td>
<td>8.2 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.012†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>3.8 ± 2.1</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.009†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteotomy line (no. of hips)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.018†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The values are given as the mean and standard deviation, unless otherwise indicated (as number of hips). †Mann-Whitney U test. ‡Chi-square test.
Although our sample is too small for us to draw a definite conclusion, these results suggest that a Chiari pelvic osteotomy may not increase the risk of complications with a subsequent total hip arthroplasty, particularly in comparison with the rate associated with a total hip arthroplasty performed after an intertrochanteric osteotomy.

The surgical approach is an important technical factor in the Chiari pelvic osteotomy. The approach should provide wide exposure to facilitate an osteotomy at the most appropriate level. When there is a high-riding greater trochanter, an appropriate osteotomy line can be obtained with use of a trochanteric osteotomy and a positive postoperative Trendelenburg sign can be avoided by transferring the greater trochanter distally. Since 1990, we have preferred the Ollier lateral approach, which provides a wider exposure of the entire lateral surface of the ilium than does the posterolateral approach.

The osteotomy level has also been described as one of the important factors influencing the outcome. Chiari indicated that the empty space will be filled with strong fibrous tissue capable of transmitting load to the acetabular roof after osteotomy at a proximal level. He emphasized that the risks of the osteotomy are more pronounced when it is performed at too distal a level, since the proximal fragment may press excessively on the joint capsule. Matsuno et al. reported that seventy-four (84%) of eighty-eight hips with an intertrochanteric osteotomy and a positive postoperative Trendelenburg sign can be avoided by transferring the greater trochanter distally. Since 1990, we have preferred the Ollier lateral approach, which provides a wider exposure of the entire lateral surface of the ilium than does the posterolateral approach.

The osteotomy level has also been described as one of the important factors influencing the outcome. Chiari indicated that the empty space will be filled with strong fibrous tissue capable of transmitting load to the acetabular roof after osteotomy at a proximal level. He emphasized that the risks of the osteotomy are more pronounced when it is performed at too distal a level, since the proximal fragment may press excessively on the joint capsule. Matsuno et al. reported that seventy-four (84%) of eighty-eight hips with an intertrochanteric osteotomy and a positive postoperative Trendelenburg sign can be avoided by transferring the greater trochanter distally. Since 1990, we have preferred the Ollier lateral approach, which provides a wider exposure of the entire lateral surface of the ilium than does the posterolateral approach.

The approach, which provides a wider exposure of the entire lateral surface of the ilium than does the posterolateral approach.

The osteotomy level has also been described as one of the important factors influencing the outcome. Chiari indicated that the empty space will be filled with strong fibrous tissue capable of transmitting load to the acetabular roof after osteotomy at a proximal level. He emphasized that the risks of the osteotomy are more pronounced when it is performed at too distal a level, since the proximal fragment may press excessively on the joint capsule. Matsuno et al. reported that seventy-four (84%) of eighty-eight hips with an intertrochanteric osteotomy and a positive postoperative Trendelenburg sign can be avoided by transferring the greater trochanter distally. Since 1990, we have preferred the Ollier lateral approach, which provides a wider exposure of the entire lateral surface of the ilium than does the posterolateral approach.

The improvement in femoral head coverage by sufficient displacement of the fragment is technically important and also provides acetabular bone stock for subsequent total hip arthroplasty. The average improvement of 36° in the center-edge angle in our series was similar to that achieved in previous studies. We recommend trying to obtain ideal femoral head coverage with a postoperative center-edge angle of at least 30° to 35°, which usually requires 1.5 to 2.5 cm of medial displacement of the distal fragment.

Trousdale et al. reported that periacetabular osteotomies performed for treatment of Tönnis grade-3 osteoarthritis produced inferior results, with eight of nine patients having a Harris hip score of <70 points at the time of the most recent follow-up. No hip in our series had complete obliteration of the joint space on preoperative radiographs, which may be one reason for our better results.

The Chiari pelvic osteotomy has mechanical advantages as it covers the femoral head with the osteotomized proximal fragment and medializes the hip center. Although our clinical results were inferior to those of total hip arthroplasty, they were acceptable at the time of mid-term follow-up. We believe that the Chiari pelvic osteotomy is indicated for patients less than fifty years old with advanced Tönnis grade-3 osteoarthritis associated with hip dysplasia who prefer a joint-conserving procedure to total hip arthroplasty and accept a clinical outcome that is predicted to be less optimal than that of total hip arthroplasty. Moderate dysplasia and moderate subluxation without complete obliteration of the joint space and a preoperative center-edge angle of at least −10° are desirable factors, and the osteotomy level should be appropriate. Preoperative education of the patient regarding the possibility of limited functional improvement is necessary.
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