

Trends in Parasitology (2003) 19(9):377-381.

Immunodiagnostic and molecular approaches for the detection of taeniid cestode infections

Ito, Akira ; Craig, Philip S.

Immunodiagnostic and molecular approaches for the detection of taeniid cestode infections (2223 words in the text and peg with 52 refs)

Akira Ito¹ and Philip S. Craig²

¹Dept of Parasitology, Asahikawa Medical College, Asahikawa 078-8510, Japan ²Cestode Zoonoses Research Group, Biosciences Research Institute, University of Salford, Greater Manchester, M5 4WT, UK

This article summarizes the most recent advances in techniques and applications for the detection of taeniid cestode-infected persons or animals. In addition, the use of molecular approaches for strain identification and control of parasite transmission is discussed.

Corresponding author: Akira Ito (akiraito@asahikawa-med.ac.jp)

Although neurocysticercosis (NCC) and echinococcosis as cystic (CE) and alveolar (AE) forms are not among the leading causes of parasite-induced mortality worldwide, these diseases cause considerable morbidity in humans and economic loss in terms of health and livestock costs [reviewed in 1–5]. Approximately 50 000 individuals die of NCC every year caused by *Taenia solium*; more than 25 000 CE cases have been surgically treated in western China alone since 1905. Human AE is among the most lethal of parasitic infections and 559 cases have been recorded in Western Europe for 1982-2000 [4,6].

Transmission of NCC, CE and AE occurs following environmental contamination with infective eggs released from definitive host mammals. Humans are the only definitive host of *T. solium*; therefore, it is theoretically possible to eradicate the disease in humans by means of diet and health education [7]. By contrast, predominant definitive hosts for *Echinococcus* granulosus and Echinococcus multilocularis are the dog and fox, respectively [5]. If the transmission of *E. granulosus* between dogs and domestic animals is interrupted, it might be possible to control or even eradicate *E. granulosus*, at least where significant wildlife reservoirs do not exist, as shown in Tasmania and New Zealand [5]. Because the principle definitive host of *E. multilocularis* is the fox, and intermediate hosts are small wild mammals, it is much more difficult to control the life cycle, and therefore AE is not considered to be an eradicable infection. Moreover, the number of urban foxes and stray dogs infected with *E. multilocularis* is increasing in Europe and elsewhere [4-6]. Owing to the great increase in immigrants, refugees and tourists, together with the worldwide importation of livestock and changes in livestock practices, it has become more difficult to control these parasitic diseases. Cestode zoonoses are now considered as emerging and re-emerging parasitic diseases [4].

Cysticercosis

A brief summary of the modern techniques for detection of NCC, CE and AE in humans and domestic animals, as well as for detection of the parasites in

definitive hosts, is given in Table 1. The incidence of NCC has been underestimated because of the lack of a reliable method to detect and/or confirm it. With the development of image analysis using computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), together with morereliable serological methods, it is now much easier to detect NCC patients and porcine cysticercosis.

The most reliable serology to detect cysticercosis in humans and animals is to detect specific antibody against specific *T. solium* antigens. The original identification of specific bands of glycoproteins (GPs) recognized by serum antibodies on immunoblots [8] and the original purification by lentil-lectin affinity chromatography [9] have since been established as the gold standard for immunodiagnosis of human NCC [10]. However, because of the presence of nonspecific contaminants, it was impossible to establish an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Recently, a simple method for the purification of *T. solium*-specific GPs has been reported using preparative isoelectric focusing; antigens prepared in this way are applicable not only for immunoblot, but also for ELISA. Both assays showing similar sensitivity and specificity [4,11]. This approach is useful to detect infection in humans [12] and in pigs, which are the main intermediate hosts [13], and even in dogs in certain endemic areas [14]. Although several groups have succeeded in producing recombinant antigens and synthetic peptides, the immunodiagnostic sensitivity of these recombinant or synthetic peptides is not as high as native GPs [15]. Although detection of circulating antigen by ELISA has been used in epidemiological surveys in humans [4], problems could occur when applied in pigs because of cross-reaction with other larval cestodes such as *Taenia saginata asiatica* (= *Taenia asiatica*) and/or *Taenia hydatigena* [13].

Taeniasis

Because humans are the only definitive hosts for *T. solium*, it is vital to detect worm carriers of *T. solium* in communities where NCC is endemic [16]. To accomplish this, the detection of coproantigens of taeniid cestodes has been

developed for both *T. solium* and *T. saginata* infections in humans, and *Echinococcus* spp. in carnivores. In this assay, tapeworm antigens are detected in fecal samples of the definitive host [17,18].

Although the monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) or polyclonal antibodies employed to detect coproantigens were genus specific, the use of *Taenia* coproantigen ELISA has increased the ability to detect tapeworm carriers by threefold. Immunoblot detection of serum antibodies against specific 24/26 kDa antigen [19] of *T. solium* adult worms has been established in taeniasis cases, although residual antibodies from past exposure might result in false positives. On the basis of such data (especially coproantigen positivity), it is possible to identify and treat worm carriers using anthelmintics [16]. When such immunodiagnostic techniques are used, it is important to check the expelled tapeworm by morphology and/or DNA analysis for confirmation of the species [4,12,14,16]. Copro PCR for *T. solium* taeniasis is currently under development by several groups.

Although *T. saginata* has less medical importance, recent reports from Asia have revealed that there is a third form called Asian *Taenia* [20]. It was generally noticed that adult taeniid tapeworms expelled from local people in parts of Southeast Asia appeared to be *T. saginata*, although they used to eat pork [20]. The debate on whether it should be a new species, *T. asiatica* [21] or a subspecies, *T. saginata asiatica* [22] is still ongoing [23-27]. We expect that it is a sub-species of *T. saginata* and *T. saginata asiatica* in Asia. The latter is endemic in remote areas where local people eat uncooked minced pork with fresh blood and viscera. Therefore such endemic areas may simpatric become endemic for taeniasis/cysticercosis of *T. solium*.

A multiplex PCR for use on faeces as well as parasite specimens (proglottids, eggs or cysts) which can differentiate *T. saginata, T. saginata asiatica, T. solium* (Asian type) and *T. solium* (African/American type) has been developed based on the species-specific sequences of mitochondrial DNA of these cestodes [4,28,29]. This will also be useful for molecular epidemiological studies.

CE and AE Serology

It is a matter of some debate whether image diagnosis [by CT, MRI, X-ray or ultrasonography (US)] or serology for detection of specific antibodies is the more useful, reliable or practical approach. There is no doubt that a combination of image and serological analyses is essential for differential diagnosis of echinococcosis (either CE or AE) [5,30,31] and cysticercosis [4,31]. It is now recommended that image diagnosis for detection of a hepatic abnormality is the first choice not only in highly endemic areas but also in developed countries where AE is rare and should be followed by serological confirmation using specific antigens [4,5,30,31].

Major efforts have been undertaken to establish more-reliable serology with higher specificity and sensitivity and application for detection of the early stage of these diseases [1,3-5,31–33]. There are several candidate antigens (Table 1). Production of both recombinant antigens and synthetic peptides is underway in several laboratories. Serology using crude *Echinococcus* antigens, as opposed to purified antigens, is not as reliable for identification of AE or CE, although their high sensitivity could be used for mass serological screening [5,31,33]. It has been revealed that all candidate protein antigens for serodiagnosis of AE identified and characterized independently in Germany (EM10), Australia (EM4), Switzerland (EmII/3 or EmII/3-10) and Japan (Em18) are the same protein family and fragments of EM10 [34,35]. Em18 is the smallest product of degradation of EM10 (from ³⁴⁹K to ⁵⁰⁸K of EM10) by cysteine proteinase [32]. Although EM10 has been shown to have functional similarity to the human ERM (ezrin, radixin and moesin) family of molecules [35], the Em18 has the lowest homology with ERM and expected to be more specific and sensitive. Both Em2^{plus-}ELISA and Em18-ELISA have been confirmed to be highly useful for monitoring of clinical prognosis [4,5,36].

Immunodiagnosis of human CE is currently best facilitated by use of native or recombinant antigen B derived originally from hydatid cyst fluid [37-39]. ELISA and especially immunoblot for antibody recognition of the 8/12 kDa small subunit of antigen B is highly genus specific, though a degree of crossreactivity occurs with human AE cases. Antigen B has been shown to comprise

three subunits (8/12, 16 and 20/24 kDa) of which the 8 /12kDa molecule itself comprises three forms AgB8/1, AgB8/2 and AgB8/3 appears to be most useful for serodiagnosis of human CE, and the AgB8/1 motif has been detected in *E. multilocularis* [37,39]. The 8 kDa subunit has been cloned from both *E. granulosus* and *E. multilocularis* [37,39]. Post-treatment (usually with albendazole) surveillance of CE by serological tests still remains to be developed for practical clinical use. Antigen B also appears to have same value in testing sheep and domestic livestock for CE infection [40].

Detection of copro-DNA

Detection of coproantigens of *Echinococcus* spp. in the definitive host has been developed and improved, and these techniques are now available in several laboratories [17,18]. Because there is a good correlation between real infection prevalence confirmed by purgation or necropsy to detect adult *E. granulosus* or *E. multilocularis* worms and copropositive prevalence rates, detection of coproantigens is reasonably expected to be useful for primary screening [17,18,41,42]. However, current coproantigen tests are primarily genus specific but not species specific. Therefore, it is important to establish species-specific techniques to differentiate *E. granulosus* and *E. multilocularis*, especially in dogs where both AE and CE are co-endemic, for example, in western China [3,4,33]. Because the risk of infection from dogs may be higher than from foxes in China [33], detection of copro-DNA is required for species-specific confirmation. Such PCR techniques for the detection of worm carriers and differentiation of *E. multilocularis* are now available [43-45]. Similar speciesspecific DNA techniques for detection of *E. granulosus* eggs has also been established and a novel copro-PCR test has now been developed [46].

In order to avoid exaggerated public anxiety – especially when domestic pets are concerned – it has to be stressed that any definitive diagnosis of *E. multilocularis* in dogs and cats has to be based on specific criteria: (i) the morphological detection of adult worms expelled after purging with arecoline (not after dosing with praziquantel) of animals positive with coproantigen tests as used to be applied for detection of dogs infected with *E. granulosus*, or

(ii) the detection of species specific DNA in fecal samples, or from eggs or adult worms present in the faeces.

Strain variation

Identification of strain-specific nucleotide sequences for several genes of E. granulosus has enabled up to nine genotypes (strains) to be characterized [4,5,47]. The horse-dog strain of E. granulosus (G4) has been recommended to be elevated to species status *Echinococcus equinus* [47]. Although the dogsheep strain (G1) appears to be responsible for the majority of zoonotic CE infections, recent studies also indicate human infections with camel, cattle and pig genotypes [4,5]. There appears to be much less genetic heterogeneity in E. *multilocularis* and *T. solium*. However, microsatellite and mitochondrial DNA analyses have identified polymorphisms within the *E. multilocularis* endemic region of Hokkaido [48], and between isolates from Asia and Africa/Latin America (complexes) of *T. solium* [27-29]. As these zoonotic cestodes travel the world with the tapeworm carriers, it is crucial to differentiate such genotypes of *T. solium* and other zoonotic cestodes.

Vaccination of animal stock

Recombinant oncosphere subunit peptide vaccine candidates against several taeniid cestodes including *Taenia ovis*, *T. saginata*, *T. solium* and *E. granulosus*, and recently *E. multilocularis* have now been produced or identified by Lightowlers *et al.* [49], and Gauci *et al.* [50] and Siles-Lucas *et al.*[51], respectively. The EG95 vaccine against *E. granulosus* is 95% protective in Phase 2 trials for ovine CE [49]. Vaccination of intermediate hosts (e.g. sheep, yak) against *E. granulosus* might be the only option in some remote areas. The homologue of EG95 has now been identified in *E. multilocularis* (EM95) [49,50]. Another vaccine candidate E14t, a recombinant *E. multilocularis* 14-3-3 protein, has also been identified [51]. It has been shown that EM95 and E14t provide 78-83% and 97% protection in rodents against experimental egg challenge with *E. multilocularis*, respectively [50,51]. By

contrast, vaccination of pigs against *T. solium* could be more difficult for the control of NCC in highly endemic areas such as Irian Jaya, Indonesia [4,12,14,16,25]. Sustainable health education and targeted or mass treatment of human tapeworm carriers with integrated vaccine use and oxfendazole use in pigs could be the way for future control of NCC [52].

Summary

It is important to establish reliable strategies and methods to identify infected humans and animals for the surveillance, prevention and control of cysticercosis and echinococcosis. The use of modern techniques for the screening and identification of infected persons and animals provides a sound scientific basis for the prevention and control of these zoonotic cestodiases. Strategies for the control of these three important zoonotic cestodiases are complicated and necessary in the long term. Modern developments in detection, diagnostic, vaccine and chemotherapeutic methodologies will increase the likelihood of effective intervention and surveillance.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (14256001) from the Japan Society for Promotion of Science to A.I. and by the US-National Institute of Health Research Fund (1 R01 TW01565-01) to P.S.C.

References

1 Craig, P.S. *et al.* (1996) Detection, screening and community epidemiology of taeniid cestode zoonoses: cystic echinococcosis, alveolar echinococcosis and neurocysticercosis. *Adv. Parasitol.* 38, 169–250 2Schantz, P.M. *et al.* (1998) Immigrants, imaging, and immunoblots: the emergence of neurocysticercosis as a significant public health problem. In *Emerging Diseases 2* (Scheld, W.M. *et al.*, eds), pp. 213–242, ASM Press 3Ito, A. *et al.* (2003) Control of echinococcosis and cysticercosis: a public health challenge to international cooperation in China. *Acta Trop.* 86, 3– 17

4Craig, P.S. and Pawlowski, Z.S. (2002) *Cestode Zoonoses: Echinococcosis and Cysticercosis, an Emergent and Global Problem*, pp. 1–395, NATO Science Series, IOS Press

5Eckert, J. *et al.* (2001) *WHO/OIE Manual on Echinococcosis in Humans and Animals: a Public Health Problem of Global Concern*, pp. 1–265, Office International des Epizooties

6 Kern, P. *et al.* (2003) European echinococcosis registry: human alveolar echinococcosis, Europe, 1982-2000. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 9, 343–349
7 Schantz, P.M. *et al.* (1993) Potential eradicability of taeniasis and cysticercosis. *Bull. Pan. Am. Health Organ.* 27, 397–403

8Gottstein, B. *et al.* (1986) Demonstration of species-specific and crossreactive components of *Taenia solium* metacestode antigens. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 35, 308–313

9 Parkhouse, R.M.E. and Harrison, L.J.S. (1987) Cyst fluid and surface associated glycoprotein antigens of *Taenia* spp. metacestodes. *Parasitol. Immunol.* 9, 263–268

10 Tsang, V.C.W. *et al.* (1989) An enzyme-linked immunoelectrotransfer blot assay and glycoprotein antigens for diagnosing human cysticercosis (*Taenia solium*). *J. Infect. Dis.* 159, 50–59 11 Ito, A. *et al.* (1998) Novel antigens for neurocysticercosis: simple method for preparation and evaluation for serodiagnosis. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 59, 291–294

12 Wandra, T. *et al.* (2003) *Taenia solium* cysticercosis, Irian Jaya, Indonesia. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 9, 884-885

13 Sato, M.O. *et al.* (2003) Evaluation of tongue inspection and serology for diagnosis of *Taenia solium* cysticercosis in swine: usefulness of ELISA using purified glycoproteins and recombinant antigen. *Vet. Parasitol.* 111, 309–322.

14 Ito, A. *et al.* (2002) Dogs as alternative intermediate hosts of *Taenia solium* in Papua (Irian Jaya), Indonesia, confirmed by highly specific ELISA and immunoblot using native and recombinant antigens and mitochondrial DNA analysis. *J. Helminthol.* 76, 311–314

15 Sako, Y. *et al.* (2000) Molecular characterization and diagnostic value of *Taenia solium* low-molecular-weight antigen genes. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 38, 4439–4444

16 Margono, S.S. *et al.* (2003) *Taenia solium* taeniasis/cysticercosis in Papua, Indonesia in 2001: detection of human worm carriers. *J. Helminthol.* 77, 39–42

17 Allan, J.C. *et al.* (1992) Coproantigen detection for immunodiagnosis of echinococcosis and taeniasis in dogs and humans. *Parasitology* 104, 347–356

18 Deplazes, P. *et al.* (1999) *Echinococcus multilocularis* coproantigen detection by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in fox, dog, and cat populations. *J. Parasitol.* 85, 115–121

19 Wilkins, P.P. *et al.* (1999) Development of a serologic assay to detect *Taenia solium* taeniasis. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 60, 199–204

20 Fan, P.C. (1988) Taiwan *Taenia* and taeniasis. *Parasitol. Today* 4, 86-88

21 Eom, K. and Rim, H.J. (1993) Morphological descriptions of *Taenia* asiatica sp. Korean J. Parasitol. 31, 1-6

22 Fan, P.C. *et al.* (1995) Morphological description of *Taenia saginata asiatica* (Cyclophyllidea: Taeniidae) from man in Asia. *J. Helminthol.* 69, 299-303

23 Zarlenga, D.S. *et al.* (1991) Characterization and detection of a newly described Asian taeniid using cloned ribosomal DNA fragments and sequence amplification by the polymerase chain reaction. *Exp. Parasitol.* 72, 174-183

24 Bowles, J. and McManus, D.P. (1994) Genetic characterization of the Asian *Taenia*, a newly described taeniid cestode of humans. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 50, 33-44

25 Simanjuntak, G.M. *et al.* (1997) Taeniasis/cysticercosis in Indonesia as an emerging disease. *Parasitol. Today* 13, 321-323

26 Hoberg, E.P. *et al.* (2000) A phylogenetic hypothesis for species of the genus *Taenia* (Eucestoda: Taeniidae). *J. Parasitol.* 86, 89-98

27 Ito, A. et al. (2003) Multiple genotypes of Taenia solium-

ramifications for diagnosis, treatment and control. *Acta Trop.* 87, 95-101 28 Nakao, M. *et al.* (2002) A phylogenetic hypothesis for the distribution of two genotypes of the pork tapeworm *Taenia solium* worldwide. *Parasitology* 124, 657–662

29 Yamasaki, H. *et al.* (2002) DNA differential diagnosis of human taeniid cestodes: base excision sequencing T-base reader analysis using mitochondrial genes. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 40, 3818–3821

30 Zhang, W. *et al.* (2003) Concepts in immunology and diagnosis of hydatid disease. *Clin. Micro. Rev.* 16, 18–36

31 Ito, A. *et al.* (2003) Development of Em18-immunoblot and Em18-ELISA for specific diagnosis of alveolar echinococcosis. *Acta Trop.* 85, 173–182

32 Sako, Y. *et al.* (2002) Alveolar echinococcosis: characterization of diagnostic antigen Em18 and serological evaluation of recombinant Em18. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 40, 2760–2765

33 Craig, P.S. *et al.* (2000) An epidemiological and ecological study of human alveolar echinococcosis transmission in south Gansu, China. *Acta Trop.* 77, 167–177

34 Frosch, P.M. *et al.* (1991) Cloning and characterization of an
immunodominant major surface antigen of *Echinococcus multilocularis*. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 48, 121-130

35 Hubert, K. *et al.* (1999) of the EM10 protein from *Echinococcus multilocularis* in cultured mammalian cells demonstrate functional relationships to ERM family members. *Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton* 42, 178– 188

36 Ma, L. *et al.* (1997) Alveolar echinococcosis: Em2^{plus}-ELISA and Em18western blots for follow-up after treatment with albendazole. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 91, 476-478

37 Frosch, P.M. *et al.* (1994) Sequence heterogeneity of the echinococcal antigen B. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 64, 171–175

38 Mamuti, W. *et al.* (2002) Usefulness of hydatid cyst fluid of *Echinococcus granulosus* developed in mice with secondary infection for serodiagnosis of cystic echinococcosis in humans. *Clin. Diag. Lab. Immunol.* 9, 573-576

39 González-Sapienza, G. and Cauchau, R.E. (2003) Identification of critical residues of an immunodominant region of *Echinococcus granulosus* antigen B. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 20179-20184

40 Ibrahem, M.M. *et al.* (2002) Serodiagnosis of cystic echinococcosis in naturally infected camels. *Parasitology* 125, 245–251

41 Craig, P.S. *et al.* (1995) Diagnosis of canine echinococcosis: comparison of coproantigen and serum antibody detection with arecoline purgation in Uruguay. *Vet. Parasitol.* 56, 293–301

42 Raoul, F. *et al.* (2001) Assessment of the epidemiological status of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in foxes in France using ELISA copro-tests on fox faeces collected in the field. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 31, 1579–1588

43 Mathis, A. *et al.* (1996) An improved test system for PCR-based specific detection of *Echinococcus multilocularis* eggs. *J. Helminthol.* 70, 219–222

44 Dinkel, A. *et al.* (1998) Detection of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in the definitive host: coprodiagnosis by PCR as an alternative to necropsy. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 36, 1871–1876

45 Nakao, M. *et al.* (2002) The complete mitochondrial DNA sequence of the cestode *Echinococcus multilocularis* (Cyclophyllidea: Taeniidae). *Mitochondrion* 1, 497–509

46 Abbasi, I. *et al.* Coprodiagnosis of *Echinococcus granulosus* infection in dogs by amplification of a newly identified repeated DNA sequence. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* (in press)

47 Thompson, R.C.A. and McManus, D.P. (2002) Towards a taxonomic revision of the genus *Echinococcus*. *Trends Parasitol*. 18, 452–457

48 Nakao, M. *et al.* Isolation of polymorphic microsatellite loci from the tapeworm *Echinococcus multilocularis*. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 3 (in press) 49 Lightowlers, M.W. *et al.* (2000) Vaccination against cysticercosis and hydatid disease. *Parasitol. Today* 16, 191–196

50 Gauci, C. *et al.* (2002) Molecular cloning of a vaccine antigen against infection with the larval stage of *Echinococcus multilocularis*. *Infect. Immunol.* 70, 3969-3972

51 Siles-Lucas, M. *et al.* (2003). The *Echinococcus multilocularis* 14-3-3 protein protects mice against primary but not secondary alveolar echinococcosis. *Vaccine* 21, 431–439

52 Gonzalez, A.E. *et al.* (2001) Protection of pigs with cysticercosis from further infection after treatment with oxfendazole. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 65, 15–18

Table 1. Differential diagnosis of NCC, CE and AE in humans and detection of adult *Taenia* spp. in humans and *Echinococcus* spp. in carnivores^a

Disease		Method and comments	
	Refs		
NCC ^b		1: Image analysis: CT, MRI (brain), CT, X-ray (subcutaneous tissues)	2-4
		2: Serology: Detection of serum antibodies to glycoproteins	3,4,8-
		12	
		(GP-Immunoblot 14-52 especially 24/26 kDa, GP-ELISA)	
		3: Confirmation of species specific DNA and morphology using resecte specimens	d 27-29
CE		1:Image analysis: CT, US (liver), CT, X-ray (lung), CT, MRI (brain)	4,5
		2: Serology: Detection of serum antibodies to antigen B ^c subunits	30,37-
	40		
		(immunoblot especially 8-12 kDa, AgB-ELISA)	
		3: Confirmation of species specific DNA and pathology using resected	cysts
4,5			
AE		1: Image analysis: CT, US (liver), CT, X-ray (lung),CT, MRI (brain)	4,5
		2: Serology: Detection of serum antibodies to Alkaline phosphatase ^c	30-35
		(Alkaline phosphatase-ELISA), Em2º (Em2-ELISA), II/3-10	
		(II/3-10-ELISA), Em2 plus II/3-10 (Em2 ^{plus-} ELISA), Em18	
		(Em18-Immunoblot, Em18-ELISA), EM10 (EM10-ELISA), EM4	
		(EM4-ELISA)	
		3: Confirmation of species specific DNA and pathology using resected	cysts 4,5
Taeniasi	.s	1: Detection of coproantigens	4,16,17
		2: Detection of copro-DNA	19,29
		3: Detection of serum antibodies to adult stage specific antigens	19
		4: Confirmation of species specific DNA from proglottids or eggs	16,23,24,
		and proglottis morphology using the worms from copro- or	27-29
		sero-positive persons after anthelminthic treatment	

Echinococcosis 1: Detection of genus-specific copro-antigens for screening 4,,5,17,18,41

2^d: Confirmation of species specific DNA from proglottids or eggs 46-48 and proglottis morphology using the worms from copro-antigen positive animals especially from dogs and cats after anthelminthic treatment

a: Abbreviations: NCC, neurocysticercosis; GP-ELISA, glycoprotein-ELISA; CT, computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; US, ultrasonography; CE, cystic echinococcosis; AE, alveolar echinococcosis

^b: There are many asymptomatic infections without demonstrable cysts. Improved sensitivity of CSF (cerebrospinal fluid) over serum antibodies has been debated but examination of serum samples for detection of specific antibodies is in most cases sufficient and more acceptable.

^{c:} These marker candidate antigens are now considered to be genus specific but expression of such antigens is highly related to the pathogenicity of typically multi-vesicular AE (Em2, Em18) or to that of cyst type for CE. Antibodies to antigen B are usually predominant in advanced CE cases. Routine serodiagnosis for differentiation of these three taeniid larval cestodiases at Asahikawa Medical College may be undertaken as follows: AE: test antibody positivity to recombinant Em18, CE: test antibody positivity to recombinant Antigen B, but should be negative to recombinant Em18^{4,31}. NCC: antibody positivity to glycoproteins purified by preparative isoelectric focusing¹¹ or recombinant chimeric protein¹⁵ for ELISA and immunoblot³¹.

^d: This is very important to confirm the direct evidence of the species and check the quality of copro-antigen test.